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The Role of the Family in the Physical Activity, Sedentary and Sleep Behaviours of Children and Youth

2020 ParticipACTION Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth
The 2020 ParticipACTION Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth is the most comprehensive assessment of child and youth physical activity in Canada. The Report Card synthesizes data from multiple sources, including the best available peer-reviewed research, to assign evidence-informed grades across 14 indicators. The Report Card has been replicated in over 50 cities, provinces and countries, where it has served as a blueprint for collecting and sharing knowledge about the physical activity of young people around the world. 😞
About ParticipACTION

ParticipACTION is a national non-profit organization that helps Canadians sit less and move more. Originally established in 1971, ParticipACTION works with its partners, which include sport, physical activity and recreation organizations as well as governments and corporate sponsors, to make physical activity a vital part of everyday life.
The findings and recommendations contained within this report were developed in accordance with the best available evidence at the time of creation (pre COVID-19 pandemic). All Canadians should follow the guidance of their respective public health authorities for the appropriate measures to take while pursuing healthy movement behaviours.

ParticipACTION’s strategic partner, the Healthy Active Living and Obesity Research Group (HALO) at the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) Research Institute (HALO-CHEO), played a critical role in the research and development of the 2020 Report Card:

The 2020 Report Card is available for reproduction provided the following copyright acknowledgement is included:

Please use the following citation:

The 2020 Report Card and a summary of its findings (the Highlight Report) are available online at ParticipACTION.com.

Help Us Do Our Job Better

The Report Card is based on the best available data (primarily accumulated since the previous Report Card [2018], and from earlier years where appropriate). If you have data that could inform future grades for one or more indicators, please contact ParticipACTION (info@participaction.com).
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Indicators & Grades

Grades are common to every report card. The 2020 Report Card Research Committee (RCRC) assigned letter grades to 14 different indicators grouped into four categories (Figure 1): Daily Behaviours (Overall Physical Activity, Active Play, Active Transportation, Organized Sport, Physical Education, Sedentary Behaviours, Sleep, 24-Hour Movement Behaviours), Individual Characteristics (Physical Literacy, Physical Fitness), Spaces & Places (Household, School, Community & Environment), and Strategies & Investments (Government). This year the RCRC decided to make changes to the names of categories and indicators compared to the 2018 Report Card, to align with Canada’s pan-Canadian physical activity policy framework and to better reflect the corresponding benchmarks and data: (1) “Settings & Sources of Influence” was changed to “Spaces & Places”; (2) “Family & Peers” was changed to “Household”; (3) “Active Play & Leisure Activities” was changed to “Active Play”; and (4) “Organized Sport Participation” to “Organized Sport.” Letter grades were based on an examination of current data for each indicator against a benchmark(s). Together, the indicators provide a complete and robust assessment of how we are doing as a country regarding the promotion and facilitation of physical activity among Canadian children and youth.

Early Years Data No Longer Informs Letter Grades in the 2020 Report Card

When assigning grades, the RCRC must consider key findings that apply to children and youth of varying ages with different corresponding Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines.2,3,4 Since the 2013 Report Card, indicator grades have been informed by data on preschoolers (3- to 4-year-olds) in addition to data on children and youth (5- to 17-year-olds). This year, data on preschoolers are no longer factored into the letter grades. One of the main considerations informing this decision is that preschoolers have different benchmarks (e.g., at least 180 minutes of daily physical activity, of which at least 60 minutes is energetic play) vs. the benchmarks for children and youth (e.g., at least 60 minutes of daily moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity [MVPA], and this difference is not easily resolved simply by weighting the data by age group as has been done in previous report cards (see page 5 in the 2018 Report Card).5 Nevertheless, best-available data on the early years (0-4 years) are outlined in A Notable Highlight: The Early Years (see page 121-124).
A child’s overall physical activity is linked to physical and mental health, maintenance of a healthy body weight, academic performance, motor skill development & physical literacy, among other benefits.
Why is Physical Activity Important?

New research findings continue to highlight the pervasive link between physical activity and health among children and youth (5- to 17-year-olds). Such findings both confirm and expand upon the many well-known health benefits associated with a physically active lifestyle. For instance, recent studies demonstrate that greater physical activity levels in children and youth are associated with more beneficial scores on cardiovascular health (e.g., maximal oxygen uptake, arterial stiffness),\textsuperscript{6,7,8} bone health (e.g., bone strength and density),\textsuperscript{9,10,11} indices of adiposity (e.g., body mass index, fat mass, waist circumference),\textsuperscript{12,13,14,15} cognitive development and brain health (e.g., executive functioning, white matter microstructure),\textsuperscript{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23} academic achievement (e.g., mathematics, overall grade point average)\textsuperscript{24,25,26,27} and health-related quality of life (e.g., physical, social and emotional functioning).\textsuperscript{28,29}
Given the increasing emphasis on mental health in today's society, it is not surprising that emerging research has focused on the important role of physical activity in relation to children and youth's mental health and psychosocial well-being. This research has shown that higher physical activity levels are associated with favourable dimensions of mental health including greater self-efficacy, pro-social behaviour, self-esteem and life satisfaction, and a flourishing mental state. Higher physical activity levels are also associated with fewer mental health visits, decreased behaviour of inattention and hyperactivity, reduced smartphone addiction and lower odds for symptoms of depression. A study using nationally representative data from adolescents in the United States showed that those who were physically active had significantly lower odds of having bipolar II disorder, mood disorder and general psychological distress. It should also be noted that while most studies explore the health benefits associated with higher physical activity levels (such as those highlighted above), some studies explicitly focus on the negative consequences associated with lower physical activity levels or not meeting physical activity recommendations. For example, children and youth who engage in insufficient physical activity have increased odds of obesity and symptoms of depression and anxiety, and those with insufficient physical activity and high sedentary behaviour have increased odds of suicide ideation and planning. Finally, in some research, physical activity has been combined with other healthy lifestyle behaviours (e.g., good sleep and diet, lower screen time) to obtain a healthy lifestyle score. This body of research has shown that a healthy lifestyle is associated with greater health-related quality of life, fewer health complaints (e.g., headaches, irritability) and lower risk of overweight or obesity.
The Family Influence

We’ve known for decades about the benefits physical activity can have on kids, such as improving heart health, building strong bones and muscles, boosting self-esteem and maintaining healthy body weights. But with Canadian children’s low activity levels and increasing sedentary behaviours, we should consider all angles of influence. This includes taking a closer look at how the family unit can support healthy movement behaviours (i.e., promoting sufficient physical activity, limiting sedentary behaviours and ensuring adequate sleep) in children and youth.

There’s no denying families play a crucial role in shaping and influencing all areas of kids’ lives – including their physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep behaviours. Other sources of influence – such as childcare, school, healthcare, community and governments – are important in supporting families in this pursuit.

At the end of the day, we all want happy and healthy kids. However, with everyday lives seeming to be busier than ever, fitting in activity, getting enough sleep and keeping kids away from tempting screens can be tough! But the evidence shows that it’s worth it to work toward achieving the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth.
What needs to be done to get Canadian kids moving?

This year, Canadian children received a “D+” grade for Overall Physical Activity, “D+” for Sedentary Behaviour, “B” for Sleep, and “F” for overall 24-Hour Movement Behaviours. Considering these grades it’s time to consider various ways to shift these trends in a more favourable direction. **What can we do as families to positively support healthy movement behaviours of our kids?**

Family support has been shown to be positively associated with children’s physical activity levels.\(^{52}\) Furthermore, family-based interventions have been effective at improving physical activity levels in children and youth. **How we move as a family has a direct impact on our kids.** We need to use this knowledge to positively influence kids’ lives.

- Facilitate physical activity by encouraging, watching, role modelling, co-participating and attending physical activity events.\(^{222,226,229,236}\)
- Be active as a family and make it a priority – this encourages physical activity, social support, connectedness and attachment, which are all important for good mental health.\(^{325}\)

Encouraging kids to sit less and move more doesn’t have to be a complicated or strenuous task. By prioritizing physical activity and incorporating it into daily routines, we can create a mindset where being active won’t have to be a choice for Canadian children and youth, it will become second nature.
The ever-changing, fast-paced world we live in looks a lot different than it did even 20 years ago. The same can be said for the Canadian family unit. Families are more diverse and are structured in all kinds of different ways. Although a lot has changed, one thing remains the same: the family unit is one of the closest and most important sources of influence for the movement behaviours of children and youth.

Our kids are influenced every day by a number of different messages and sources, including friends, teachers, coaches, social media, TV, and ad campaigns. A lot of that is out of our control. But it is important to remember that the habits and opportunities fostered within the family environment can also have a big impact on children’s lives. This includes modelling healthy movement behaviours.

Recent findings show that parents’ physical activity is directly associated with that of their children’s.

- Each additional 20 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity by a parent is associated with an additional 5 minutes in their child’s daily physical activity (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

The connection is clear: the more families move, the more children and youth move. We’ve heard all about children mirroring their parents’ behaviours, and how important role modelling can be in helping young people develop key life skills. Regardless of what the family dynamic looks like, the family members in kids’ lives are direct role models for how young Canadians develop – especially when it comes to healthy movement behaviours.
Family screen time is on the rise. In 2019, 52% of parents said they spend too much time on their mobile devices, up from 29% in 2016. Also, the proportion of children and youth who thought their parent(s) was/were addicted to their mobile devices and wished their parent(s) would get off their device increased from 28% in 2016 to 39% in 2019. At the same time, children’s and youths’ screen time is also on a sharp incline.

- By age 11, over half (53%) of children have their own smartphone, and this increases to 69% by age 12.
- One-third of youth keep their mobile devices in bed with them – and those with screens in their bedroom get less sleep.

The correlation between an increase in children’s use of mobile devices, and their parents’ increasing use, is no coincidence. Almost all parents have mobile devices and they are using them more than ever before. And, not surprisingly, so are their children. This can have a direct impact on how much time children spend being sedentary and can negatively impact their sleep.

Modelling behaviour can go both ways. Kids do see how much we rely on our smartphones, tablets and computers – but our positive behaviour has an impact on them, too. Prioritizing physical activity can have direct positive impacts on developing children’s movement behaviours. When we make physical activity a part of our daily routine as a family, it is naturally engrained in the routines of our children and youth as well.

Put the screens away – get active instead
Reclaim family time as active time

We know kids are sitting more and moving less, and there is something we can do about it. Canadian families have the power to help their children and youth in getting active to live their best lives possible. Canadian families can be active role models and provide the kind of positive encouragement our kids need. Start by identifying as an active family.

Incorporating physical activity into daily routines doesn’t have to be complicated, and it’s a great way to build strong social bonds, get hearts pumping and have fun together. It’s time to take back family time and reclaim it as active time.
Use active transportation to get to destinations as a family:

- Whether you walk, wheel, rollerblade, skateboard or jog, there’s a form of active transportation for everyone. Try leaving the car at home once or twice a week – not only will you save money on gas and reduce harmful emissions, but you will also be spending more quality time as a family getting active.

Create a family media plan that includes:

- Setting limits around screen viewing
- Prioritizing screen-free family time and consider the use of “device baskets” (bins for storing personal mobile devices)
- Removing screens from children’s bedrooms
- Having screen-free family meals

Encourage more outdoor time:

- Spending some time outdoors each week as a family is an easy and effective way to limit screen time and naturally boost moods, and it can also decrease sedentary behaviour and improve sleep quality.

The bottom line: family is a key source of influence on kids’ healthy movement. It’s time to make physical activity a family priority and lead by example – not only for the next generation, but for our own as well. If being active is second nature for us as adults, it will, in turn, become second nature for our children, too. It all starts with the family.

Another great thing about physical activity is that it doesn’t have to cost anything. Physical activity really is for everyone. It’s for families of all backgrounds and dynamics.

To help families prioritize getting active, check out the following recommendations from The Role of the Family in the Physical Activity, Sedentary and Sleep Behaviours of Children and Youth – A Consensus Statement:

- Be an active role model:
  - Incorporate physical activity into daily routines, limit sedentary behaviours and look for opportunities to be active as a family when possible. Engaging in healthy movement behaviours together also helps keep families connected while building strong social bonds.
Consensus Statement

On the Role of the Family in the Physical Activity, Sedentary and Sleep Behaviours of Children and Youth
Families can support children and youth in achieving healthy physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours by encouraging, facilitating, modelling, setting expectations and engaging in healthy movement behaviours with them. Other sources of influence are important (e.g., child care, school, health care, community, governments) and can support families in these pursuits.
Background

Healthy physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours in childhood and adolescence are essential for healthy growth and development, and this fact led to the development of the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines. Unfortunately, few Canadian children and youth are meeting these guidelines. There has been a dramatic decline in Canadian children’s fitness over the past 35 years, which has negatively affected their health. The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child protects a child’s right to rest and play in recreational and leisure activities appropriate to their age. These rights are reinforced in the Canadian Children’s Charter.

Unfortunately, Canadians have not been successful in honouring these commitments. The 2020 ParticipACTION Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth gives Canada a grade of “D+” for Overall Physical Activity, “D+” for Sedentary Behaviour, “B” for Sleep, and “F” for 24-Hour Movement Behaviours. A recent UNICEF Canada report supports these findings, highlighting that only 21% of children aged 5-11 years engage in at least 1.5 hours per day of active play and unstructured physical activities. Additional findings from Statistics Canada indicate that youth aged 12-17 years accumulate 4 hours per day of screen time outside of school.

Movement behaviours are affected by the family, home, school, community, government and environment. The family (e.g., parents, guardians, siblings) is typically the closest and most important influence, and its impact has received considerable research attention. This Consensus Statement was developed by synthesizing and interpreting the research evidence, integrating expert input, and incorporating stakeholder and end-user feedback to provide guidance for families, and those who influence them.
Process

The process to develop this Consensus Statement included completing six comprehensive literature reviews, establishing a national multidisciplinary Expert Panel, gathering custom data analyses from Statistics Canada’s Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS), integrating related research identified by Expert Panel members, conducting a stakeholder consultation process, achieving Expert Panel consensus and releasing the Consensus Statement in conjunction with the 2020 ParticipACTION Report Card.37

Summary of Supporting Evidence

This Consensus Statement applies to all families and is relevant for children and youth aged 0-17 years, including all genders/sexes, ethnicities and family socio-economic statuses. Families vary in structure, function, roles and interactions among members, and are constantly changing, creating both challenges and opportunities for the promotion of healthy movement behaviours.

Overall Movement Behaviours

▶ Less than 1 in 5 children and youth in Canada meet national guidelines for physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours.5,12,14,40

▶ Positive parenting practices and attitudes, perceived control, perceived low risk of harm in activities, and intentions to provide support are critical; parents who make specific plans (how, when, where) are more likely to follow through and support their child’s healthy movement behaviours.36,41–48

▶ As children age, their families provide less support for movement behaviours; however, parental support remains extremely important in supporting these behaviours in youth.49,50
Physical Activity

- Parents’ physical activity is associated with that of their children, with each additional 20 minutes of parental moderate-to-vigorous physical activity associated with an extra 5 minutes for their child; this finding is supported by other research. Parental fitness characteristics are also related to those of their children.

- Family social support is positively associated with children’s physical activity levels.

- There are generally no differences in the physical activity behaviours of children and youth according to the number of siblings in the household, or single- versus two-parent households.

- Family-based interventions are generally effective at improving physical activity, while evidence about the efficacy of screen-time interventions to increase physical activity is less conclusive. Providing families with educational materials about reducing screen time, without providing additional intervention components, may not be effective in changing child and youth physical activity behaviours. Current evidence supports using interventions that focus on self-regulatory approaches (e.g., planning, setting goals) and involve the whole family.

Sedentary Behaviours

- The number of parents who reported that they spend too much time on their own mobile devices increased from 29% in 2016 to 52% in 2019.

- The proportion of children and youth who thought their parent(s) were addicted to their mobile devices and wished their parent(s) would get off their device increased from 28% in 2016 to 39% in 2019.

- 78% of parents believed they were good media and technology role models for their children, even though the parents reported having high levels of screen viewing.

Sleep

- Good sleep hygiene is associated with positive sleep outcomes, such as longer sleep duration and better quality of sleep. Good sleep hygiene includes regular bedtimes, consistent bedtime routines (e.g., bathing, brushing teeth, reading) and screen-free bedrooms.

- One-third of youth keep their mobile devices in bed with them.

- Having screens in bedrooms is consistently linked with more screen viewing.
The Expert Panel developed the conceptual model below. It builds on earlier models that examined family systems in the context of child health behaviour change, and also incorporates new evidence. The model illustrates the complexity of the family’s role in influencing integrated movement behaviours, and provides a guide for future research and interventions.
Recommendations

Families may find it challenging to support children and youth in achieving the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines. A list of recommendations for how families, and those who influence them, can improve the physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours of children and youth is provided below. These recommendations are based on the evidence reviewed for the Consensus Statement, and the expertise of the Expert Panel members.
Family

**Overall Movement Behaviours**

- Know, understand and strive to adhere to the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines.\(^{10,11}\)

- Establish an environment that supports healthy movement, and create routines and expectations for meeting the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines.\(^{10,11}\)

- Recognize that engaging in recommended healthy movement behaviours reinforces family cohesiveness.

- Be a good role model by being physically active, limiting your own sedentary behaviour and screen time, and practising healthy sleep habits.

- Help children self-regulate their behaviours by setting expectations and making sure to involve them in deciding how they can meet the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines.\(^{10,11}\)

- Encourage more outdoor time to increase physical activity, decrease sedentary behaviour and improve sleep.\(^{77}\)

**Physical Activity**

- Facilitate physical activity by encouraging, watching, role modelling and attending physical activity events, and by co-participation.\(^{52,54,78-91}\)

- Be active as a family – this encourages physical activity, social support, connectedness and attachment, which are all important for good mental health.\(^{92}\)

- Promote and support opportunities for physical activity, active outdoor play and sport at home and school, and in the community.\(^{26}\)

- Incorporate more opportunities for active transportation – walk, bike, wheel, skateboard, scooter or take transit to school, stores, parks and activities.\(^{93}\)

- Allow your children to play and roam unsupervised, while ensuring that their range is safe.\(^{94}\)

- Join with other families in enabling physical activity opportunities.
Sedentary Behaviours

- Create a family media plan that includes:
  - Setting limits around screen viewing consistent with established guidelines[^10,11,63,64,69,95-108]
  - Prioritizing screen-free family time[^97,109,110]
  - Prioritizing device-free time at home and using “device baskets” (bins for personal mobile devices) as an aid
  - Removing screens from children’s bedrooms[^68,69,97,109-118]
  - Having screen-free family meals[^97,104,109,110,116,119-121]

- Avoid using screen time as a reward.

- Be present and engaged when screens are used, and co-view when possible[^10,11,95-97,99,109,110]

- Break up children’s prolonged sitting with movement whenever possible – at home and during travel[^10,11,95-97,99]

Sleep

- Create and adhere to a consistent bedtime routine (e.g., bathing, brushing teeth, reading)[^10,11,95-97,99,122-124]

- Schedule and encourage a regular bedtime that allows for sufficient sleep[^10,11,95,100,125,126]

- Support screen-free bedrooms for all family members[^97,104,110,122,127-134]

- Discourage screen viewing in the hour before bedtime[^96,109,110]

- Provide children with a comfortable and quiet sleeping environment[^122,124-126]
Educators & School Administrators

- Support families’ pursuit of healthy movement behaviours for their children and youth by:
  - Knowing and understanding the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines\textsuperscript{10,11}
  - Embracing opportunities to incorporate healthy and inclusive movement messages, practices and policies into daily school routines and lessons by:
    - Respecting daily physical activity (DPA) policies
    - Ensuring all children have access to daily recess breaks that encourage physical activity
    - Reducing excessive safety restrictions on physical activity on school grounds (e.g., tag, tree climbing)
    - Minimizing long periods of sedentary behaviour and interrupting any long periods with active breaks
    - Scheduling classes to encourage changes in posture
    - Providing options for standing desks in classrooms and libraries\textsuperscript{135}
    - Creating a culture that moderates the use of screens in schools
    - Integrating sleep health messages into the school curriculum
    - Supporting children and youth in self-regulating their habitual movement behaviours
    - Modelling healthy movement behaviours and discussing the importance of healthy, habitual movement behaviours
    - Assigning healthy movement behaviour homework – more physical activity, less sedentary behaviour and screen time, and a good night’s sleep

- Ensuring that before- and after-school programming incorporates healthy and inclusive movement messages, practices and policies.
**Academics & Researchers**

- Help inform families’ pursuit of healthy movement behaviours for their children and youth by:
  - Addressing evidence gaps in understanding family influence on healthy movement behaviours
  - Examining family-based interventions that use various behaviour modification techniques to address healthy physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours concurrently
  - Studying the external factors that mediate families’ ability to adhere to the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines
  - Advocating for evidence-informed policies and practices related to the role of the family in healthy physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours
  - Engaging in knowledge translation efforts targeting families, the media, educators and policymakers

**Health Care Practitioners**

- Support families’ pursuit of healthy movement behaviours for their children and youth by:
  - Promoting the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines\(^{10,11}\) and recommendations from the Canadian Paediatric Society’s Position Statement on Digital Media Use\(^{109,110}\) during routine visits
  - Asking about the family’s movement behaviours and emphasizing a whole family approach to improving these behaviours
  - Recommending that family members encourage, facilitate, model, set expectations, and participate in efforts that allow their children to achieve healthy movement behaviours
  - Becoming familiar with resources that enable families to support healthy movement behaviours
  - Advocating for preventive health-care tools such as the Rourke Baby Record and Greig Record to include an assessment of the family’s role in physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours\(^{109,110,136,137}\)
Allied professionals and community programming staff

- Support families’ pursuit of healthy movement behaviours for their children and youth by:
  - Integrating key messages from the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines\textsuperscript{10,11} into community-based programming
  - Modifying programs for parents by integrating healthy movement recommendations
  - Incorporating planning activities (e.g., developing sleep routines, screen time planning, physical activity scheduling) in family interventions/service provision
  - Encouraging and facilitating standing, stretching and quiet movement while clients are waiting or during events

Employers

- Support families’ pursuit of healthy movement behaviours for their children and youth by:
  - Ensuring healthy 24-hour movement behaviours are part of a child and family health component in workplace wellness initiatives
  - Allowing flexibility in work hours to enable parents to promote and practice healthy family movement behaviours
Governments

- Support families’ pursuit of healthy movement behaviours for their children and youth by:
  - Developing, implementing and evaluating strategies for healthy movement behaviours that draw from the guiding strategy “A Common Vision for Increasing Physical Activity and Reducing Sedentary Living in Canada: Let’s Get Moving”\(^\text{138}\)
  - Providing sustained resources and developing policies for the promotion and implementation of the 24-Hour Movement Guidelines in the context of the family\(^\text{139}\)
  - Promoting healthy 24-hour movement behaviours by increasing access to schools, recreation centres and other public spaces outside of school hours
  - Supporting families’ participation in sport and recreation activities\(^\text{140}\)
  - Requiring manufacturers of digital screen devices to disclose on product labels the potential harms associated with excessive use
  - Investing in no-charge active play spaces (especially outdoors) for families with children of all ages
  - Providing infrastructure and social marketing to support families in adopting active transport practices
  - Updating educational curricula to better incorporate healthy physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours with a family-focused lens and through a whole-school approach
  - Supporting neighbourhood associations, recreation centres and schools in developing programs to encourage family physical activity
  - Reviewing and amending bylaws as needed to allow, promote and encourage outdoor play opportunities (e.g., climbing trees, playing street hockey and pick-up ball games, building tree forts, tobogganing)
  - Providing transit options that reach beaches, hiking trails, parks and other areas that promote healthy movement behaviours
  - Developing child protection policies that promote independent movement opportunities suited to the capacity of the child and their environment
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# Getting Families Active Together

## Report Card Grading Scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>94-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>87-93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>80-86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>74-79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>67-73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>60-66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>54-59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>47-53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>40-46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>34-39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>27-33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>20-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0-19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A grade of "Inc" indicates that there was insufficient data (or data of poor quality) to assign a letter grade.
These indicators speak to specific movement behaviours that occur over a 24-hour period.
This year’s grade is a D+ for the second consecutive time because only 39% of children and youth meet the physical activity recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines.²³

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D-</td>
<td>D-</td>
<td>D-</td>
<td>D-</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>D+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Benchmark**

Percentage of children and youth who meet the physical activity recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (at least 60 minutes of daily MVPA, on average).²³
D+
Key Findings

- 39% of 5- to 17-year-olds in Canada meet the physical activity recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (2016-17 Canadian Health Measures Survey [CHMS], Statistics Canada). Custom analysis: No significant changes in the percentage of children and youth meeting the 60-minutes-per-day recommendation have been observed between 2007 and 2017. This finding is true regardless of how the data are analyzed (i.e., total sample vs. split by age group and gender).

- Figure 2 and Table 1 highlight various age- and gender-related disparities, with boys engaging in more physical activity than girls, and children (5-11 years) engaging in more physical activity than youth (12-17 years) (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis

- 25% of 10- to 17-year-olds are meeting the physical activity recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (2018 Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children survey [HBSC, PHAC]). This is similar to the prevalence (24%) reported in the previous cycle (2014) of the HBSC. Custom analysis

- 41% of 5- to 19-year-olds take at least 12,000 steps daily on average, which approximates the physical activity recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (Canadian Physical Activity Levels Among Youth study [CANPLAY], Canadian Fitness and Lifestyle Research Institute [CFLRI]). Custom analysis

- 5- to 19-year-olds in Canada take 11,300 steps daily on average (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI). Custom analysis

---

**Figure 2.** Weighted percentage (%) of children and youth (5-17 years) meeting the physical activity recommendation (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-11 years</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-17 years</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Physical activity grades assigned by age and gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Boys</th>
<th>Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5- to 17-year-olds</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5- to 11-year-olds</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 -to 17-year-olds</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Research Gaps

- More research is needed to determine how much light-intensity physical activity is needed within a 24-hour period for optimum health.
- Future studies need to better address whether the association between physical activity and health outcomes varies by type or domain of physical activity.
- Development, validation and refinement is needed of questionnaires that capture physical activity from different domains (including home, school, sport and leisure time).
- Many children and youth have an average daily MVPA that falls just short of the 60-minutes-per-day target. Future research should aim to understand what percentage of children and youth are 5, 10 or 20 minutes short of meeting the target.
- More research is needed to determine how to effectively promote physical activity among vulnerable groups (e.g., children and youth living with medical conditions).

Recommendations

- Funding from various levels of government should continue to be committed to the surveillance of physical activity in children and youth by province/territory.
- Physical activity promotion efforts should focus on reducing inequalities and inequities (e.g., age, gender, ethnicity, socio-economic status).
- Improve surveillance protocols and implement consistent criteria for “meeting the guidelines” to allow for improved comparisons across years.
- Promote physical activity early and often, and identify many ways to incorporate purposeful and incidental daily physical activity.
- Consider important age- and sex-related differences in overall levels of physical activity; these differences are masked in this indicator’s overall grade of D+. 
Literature Synthesis

MVPA can be defined as an activity with an energy cost that is at least four times greater than the energy required to sit quietly.\(^{48}\) The Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth recommend that 5- to 17-year-olds accumulate at least 60 minutes of daily physical activity at this intensity level.\(^{2,3}\) According to the latest cycle of nationally representative data from the CHMS (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada), approximately 39% of 5- to 17-year-olds in Canada are achieving this benchmark.\(^{49}\) Custom analysis and across all data cycles of the CHMS (2007-17), there has been no meaningful change in this prevalence of guideline adherence.\(^{49}\) The longest-running pedometer study in Canada (2005-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI) confirms the presence of a relatively stable trend in physical activity over time, reporting that the average number of steps taken daily by 5- to 19-year-olds has remained at around 11,500 over the past 10 years.\(^{47}\)

Figure 3 summarizes the percentage of Canadian children and youth, by province and territory, who meet the step count target of at least 12,000 steps daily on average, a benchmark that approximates the recommended 60 minutes of MVPA. Generally, the proportion of children and youth in Newfoundland and Labrador (27%) and New Brunswick (32%) who meet this target is considerably lower than the national average (41%). By contrast, 5- to 19-year-olds in western and northern Canada – British Columbia (49%) and the Yukon (54%) in particular – tend to exceed the national average.\(^{46}\)

Figure 3. Proportion (%) of 5- to 19-year-olds in Canada taking at least 12,000 steps daily on average, by province/territory (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI).\(^{46}\)

Notes: The red, dashed line represents the national average (41%); data were unavailable for Nunavut.
Global Trends in Youth Physical Inactivity

A recently published, large-scale study by the World Health Organization (WHO) includes survey data on 1.6 million youth and is the first to report on physical inactivity levels across 146 countries, summarizing global, regional and national trends in physical inactivity from 2001 to 2016. According to the study, the large majority (81%) of 11- to 17-year-olds are insufficiently active (accumulating less than 60 minutes of daily MVPA on average). Although the prevalence of physical inactivity has decreased slightly for boys between 2001 and 2016 (80% in 2001 vs. 78% in 2016), there is no statistically detectable change for girls between 2001 and 2016 (85% in both years).

Although these estimates are arguably the best available at the global level, there is some dissonance when other surveillance approaches are considered. For example, according to the third release of the Global Matrix in late 2018, which used a Report Card grading approach to assess physical activity across 49 countries (see The Global Matrix 3.0 below), children and youth in low-income countries are more physically active than their counterparts in high-income countries. The WHO study, however, suggests that countries like Canada, Finland and the United States are in the top 10 for countries with the lowest proportions of physical inactivity and, by extension, in the top 10 for countries with the highest proportions of children and youth meeting the physical activity guidelines. Notwithstanding these data issues, the main finding that most youth – in Canada and around the world – are physically inactive suggests that their current and future health may be at risk given the link between physical activity and health (see Why is Physical Activity Important? on page 12).

The Global Matrix 3.0

The Global Matrix 3.0 of Report Card grades on physical activity for children and youth was released on November 27, 2018, in Adelaide, Australia. The report by the Active Healthy Kids Global Alliance (AHKGA) compared data from 49 countries on six continents to assess global trends in childhood physical activity in developed and developing nations. The analysis revealed that modern lifestyles – increases in screen time, the growing urbanization of communities and the rise in automation of tasks that previously were manual – are contributing to a pervasive public health problem that must be recognized as a global priority.

Canada was in the 65th percentile rank (18/49) overall, based on an average of all 10 indicator grades (Behavioural: Overall Physical Activity, Organized Sport and Physical Activity, Active Play, Active Transportation, Sedentary Behaviours; Settings and Sources of Influence: Family and Peers, School, Community and Environment, and Government; Other: Physical Fitness). Canada’s average for the Behavioural indicators ranked below the 50th percentile (29/49), but the average for the Settings and Sources of Influence indicators was near the 75th percentile (14/49). Relative to other countries in the Global Matrix 3.0, Canada is among the leaders for Organized Sport and Physical Activity, and Community and Environment; however, Canada is lagging behind on many other indicators, especially the Behavioural indicators. More details on Canada and the other participating countries in the Global Matrix 3.0 are available through several open-access papers.

The Global Matrix 4.0 will be released in 2022, and AHKGA expects up to 75 countries to participate. For more information about AHKGA and the Global Matrix, visit www.activehealthykids.org.
Younger children are generally more active than older children, with 52% of 5- to 10-year-olds taking at least 12,000 steps daily (a threshold that approximates 60 minutes of MVPA) compared to 26% of 15- to 19-year-olds (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI). Furthermore, data show that more boys (49%) than girls (32%) take at least 12,000 steps daily (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI). More recent data confirm these disparities: boys engage in more physical activity than girls, and younger children (5-11 years) engage in more physical activity than older children (12-17 years) (see Figure 2 and Table 1) (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Socio-economic status disparities also continue to exist: children and youth of parents with a university education are more likely to take at least 12,000 steps daily compared to their counterparts with parents who have completed high school or a college education. Additionally, a greater proportion of children and youth in higher-income households (≥ $60,000 per year) meet this threshold compared to children and youth in lower-income households ($20,000-$29,999 per year) (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI). International data confirm an association between socio-economic status and physical activity. Other research shows that children who do not meet the physical activity guidelines (≥ 60 minutes of daily MVPA) also have a high body mass index, have an electronic device in the bedroom (e.g., television) and engage in high levels of sedentary time.
Chronic Medical Conditions and Disabilities

Children living with chronic medical conditions and disabilities spend less time engaging in physical activity and more time in screen-based, sedentary pursuits. This may be in part due to parents’ uncertainty about what types of physical activity are appropriate for their children with medical conditions. However, physical activity is safe for most, and its benefits continue to be recognized across various clinical groups. For example, recent research with children living with chronic medical conditions and disabilities shows that:

- Participating in organized sports at least two times per week is associated with higher levels of daily physical activity, health-related fitness outcomes (i.e., strength, agility, aerobic fitness) and social acceptance.

- Low-physically active children with congenital heart disease have greater arterial stiffness compared to high-physically active children with congenital heart disease.

- There is a bidirectional relationship between social functioning and physical activity among children with autism, whereby social functioning influences physical activity and physical activity influences social functioning.

- Higher physical activity levels (≥12,000 steps per day) are linked with lower vocal tic severity and improved quality of life for children with Tourette syndrome.

Given the known risks of adopting a physically inactive lifestyle, there is a push within the literature to understand how to effectively promote physical activity among these vulnerable groups. Based on existing evidence, two Canadian research groups have compiled and published physical activity recommendations applicable to a variety of medical conditions among youth (e.g., heart disease, some cancers). One group offers practical recommendations for clinicians to support their patients’ participation in sport and leisure, while the other teaches practitioners how to prescribe and deliver exercise as medicine. These recommendations will help inform future research and applied work regarding best practices for physical activity counselling and exercise interventions.
This year's grade is an F, which represents a decline from the D assigned in 2018. Available data reveal that only 21% of 5- to 11-year-olds engage in active play and non-organized/unstructured leisure activities for more than 1.5 hours per day on average (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Additional data suggest that students in grades 6 to 10 play outdoors for 15 minutes per day, on average (2018 HBSC, PHAC). These data prevent the assignment of a higher grade this year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Benchmark | Percentage of children and youth who engage in active play and non-organized/unstructured leisure activities for several hours (> 2) a day.*

* It should be noted that the target of several hours of active play per day is arbitrary, though based on expert opinion. Further research is required to establish a benchmark that is linked to health outcomes.
Key Findings

- 21% of 5- to 11-year-olds in Canada spend >1.5 hours a day in unorganized physical activity, according to their parents (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis
- Children and youth in grades 6 to 10 in Canada report playing outdoors for 15 minutes per day, on average (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis
- Children and youth in Canada spend approximately 2 hours per day outdoors (2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis

- 5- to 6-year-olds who are cared for in a non-school setting (some form of childcare outside of the home and outside of a school setting) spend 2.1 hours per day outdoors, according to their parents; those cared for at home spend 1.8 hours per day outdoors.
- 6- to 11-year-olds spend 2.2 hours per day outdoors, according to their parents.
- 12- to 14-year-olds report spending approximately 1.7 hours per day outdoors.

- Based on objective measurement (accelerometers, global positioning system [GPS] and global information systems [GIS]), 10- to 13-year-olds in Kingston, Ontario, spend approximately 33 minutes per day in active outdoor play.74
- 62% of 5- to 19-year-olds in Canada participate in outdoor play during the afterschool period, according to their parents (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI). Custom analysis

- These children and youth take approximately 2,500 more steps daily than those who do not participate in these activities.

- Based on parent-reported data, 5- to 11-year-olds in Canada spend:
  - 3.8 hours per week, on average, in physical activity during free time at school (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis
  - 3.9 hours per week, on average, in unorganized physical activity outside of school (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis
- 75% of 5- to 19-year-olds in Canada participate in unorganized physical activities or sports during the afterschool period, according to their parents (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI). Custom analysis

- These children and youth take approximately 1,900 more steps daily than those who do not participate in these activities.
Research Gaps

- The benchmark of several hours of active play per day is arbitrary, though based on expert opinion; therefore, further research is required to establish a benchmark that is linked to health outcomes.
- A standardized, cost-effective, population-based measurement approach for active play is needed to improve comparisons across studies.
- It is important to understand how play varies with age, and to ensure that assessment tools appropriately reflect changes in perception of play with age.
- More research is needed on the contribution to play within various venues (e.g., outdoors, indoors, at home, at school, within community locations).
- Research is needed on how levels of active play vary by features of the built environment (e.g., greenness, safety, proximity to parks).

Recommendations

- Promote and support outdoor – and, when possible, nature-based – play opportunities given their association with both increased physical activity levels and improved mental health outcomes.
- Nurture frequent active play opportunities: given that children learn through play, this will not only support children’s development but will also keep them healthy.

Literature Synthesis

Play is a concept that traditionally has been difficult to define. Today, there is general consensus that active play typically occurs outdoors and refers to “a form of gross motor or total body movement in which young children exert energy in a freely chosen, fun, and unstructured manner.” There are various types of play, including free-style play (fantasy role-play), build-it play (e.g., building a sandcastle), mirror-me play (children mimicking adult behaviour) and muddy boots play (e.g., hide-and-seek). While outdoor active play is not the only form of active play, it is a powerful source of daily light- and moderate-intensity physical activity among school-aged children, with recent research showing that every hour spent outdoors is associated with higher physical activity and less sedentary time in both boys and girls.

Additional benefits of outdoor play include lower overall body fat, decreased risk of severe childhood obesity, greater health of white matter (i.e., the “information super-highway” that facilitates communication within the brain) and better social skills (e.g., co-operation, calmness, being openly expressive). In a multinational survey of 12 countries, greater time spent outdoors was associated with healthier dietary patterns (e.g., regular consumption of vegetables, fruit, whole grains) in both boys and girls. While most research on outdoor play has been conducted with children, youth also engage in several different types of unstructured physical activities (e.g., going to the gym, playing street hockey).
The Powerful Role of Parents

Parents act as gatekeepers to their child’s outdoor play. Therefore, it is no surprise that parents’ attitudes toward the importance of outdoor active play and perceptions of their environment can influence their child’s time spent in outdoor active play. In a recent study with children and parents in the Vancouver area, parents’ attitudes about their neighbourhood’s walkability (availability of parks, sidewalks, crosswalks) and crime safety (perceived risk of “stranger danger”) influenced how far they allowed their children to roam the neighbourhood unsupervised.83 The importance of parents in facilitating children’s outdoor play is further evident in a systematic review including 21 peer-reviewed publications, whereby five parental correlates were associated with children’s amount of outdoor play: mother’s ethnicity, mother’s employment status, parents’ education level, the importance parents assign to outdoor play and the perceived social cohesion in the neighbourhood.84 In other words, parents play an important role in supporting and promoting children’s outdoor play.

Measurement of Active Play

For many years there was no gold standard method of measurement for quantifying active outdoor play. To address this gap, researchers from Queen’s University developed a measurement approach to assess the time children spend participating in outdoor active play in addition to other forms of physical activity (organized sports, active travel and curriculum-based physical activity).85 For their measurement approach, the researchers combined data from accelerometers, GPS and activity logs (i.e., a record of bed and wake times, times when neither the accelerometer nor GPS watch was worn, and start and end time of organized sports and outdoor chores) to create an algorithm that estimated active outdoor play. By combining all three sources, researchers were able to capture data on outdoor active play based on what the children themselves identified as play. This prevented the researchers from biasing the data with their own views on what kind of physical activity constitutes active play. This novel measurement approach will provide researchers with a new opportunity to better identify and quantify children’s time spent in active play as well as other types of physical activity.
Evaluation of the “Make Room for Play” Campaign

From January to March 2015, ParticipACTION ran the campaign “Make Room for Play,” which aired on television and online (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lk-AdtfkpTs). The goal of this campaign was to increase parental awareness of the importance of active play.86 In the video campaign, children are seen engaging in active play while a black screen progressively reduces the amount of room available for them to play. The words “Screen time is taking away play time” then appear in the black area of the screen, followed by “Make room for play.” The ad ends with the words “ParticipACTION – Don’t visit our website.” Four different 30-second ads, each featuring a different form of active play (playground play, ball hockey, basketball, jump rope), were created in English and French. When the general population and caregivers in Canada (English and French speakers) were sampled to assess the impact of the campaign, 26% recalled the ad unaided, and 46% recalled the ad when aided (i.e., shown a picture of the ad).86 Among caregivers, those who recalled the ad (unaided or aided) were more likely to try to reduce screen time among their children, create opportunities for their children to engage in play, put effort into engaging in active play with their children, and start engaging in more physical activity themselves.86

Contributing Factors and Disparities

Survey data on approximately 450 children (9-11 years) from the United Kingdom showed that boys, children from lower socio-economic status families and children who spent less than two hours on their computer on a school day had higher odds of spending more than one hour outside after school compared to girls, children from high socio-economic status families and children who spent more than two hours on their computer.87 In terms of Canadian data, there are several age- and gender-related disparities in active play:

- On average, boys in grades 6 to 8 and 9 to 10 report playing outdoors for 19 minutes per day and 15 minutes per day, respectively (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis
- On average, girls in grades 6 to 8 and 9 to 10 report playing outdoors for 15 minutes per day and 10 minutes per day, respectively (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis
- On average, boys in grades 6 to 8 and 9 to 10 report engaging in leisure-time exercise for 14 minutes per day and 15 minutes per day, respectively (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis
- On average, girls in grades 6 to 8 and 9 to 10 report engaging in leisure-time exercise for 11 minutes per day and 12 minutes per day, respectively (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis
This year’s grade remains a D- because data show that only 21% of 5- to 19-year-olds typically use active modes of transportation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D-</td>
<td>D-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who typically use active transportation to get to and from places (e.g., school, park, mall, friend’s house).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Findings

- Based on parent- and self-report data in 5- to 19-year-olds in Canada, 21% typically use active modes of transportation (e.g., walk, bike), 63% use inactive modes (e.g., car, bus) and 16% use a combination of active and inactive modes of transportation to travel to and from school (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI).

- 21% of 5- to 10-year-olds typically use active modes of transportation.

- 24% of 11- to 14-year-olds typically use active modes of transportation.

- 17% of 15- to 19-year-olds typically use active modes of transportation.

- 26% of 10- to 17-year-olds in Canada report using active modes of transportation on the main part of their trip to school, and report spending 14 minutes per day on average in active travel to all destinations (2018 HBSC, PHAC).

- 12- to 17-year-olds in Canada report spending an average of 18 minutes per day in active transportation (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

- While physical activity among adults tends to be higher in more walkable neighbourhoods, the same is not true for children. A study that attached the new Canadian Active Living Environment Database (Can-ALE) to the CHMS found that walkability was positively associated with accelerometer-measured physical activity in youth and adults, but not in children aged 3 to 11 years. This study also found that walkability was associated with transportation-based physical activity, but not recreational physical activity, in youth and adults.\(^8^8\)

- Students in grades 4 to 6 from three Canadian cities (Ottawa, Trois-Rivières, Vancouver) report taking 11.4 active trips to and from various locations (e.g., school, friend’s house, parks/playground) per week, on average (2016-17 Active Transportation, Independent Mobility and Physical Activity Among School Children study).\(^8^9\)
Recommendations

- Parents should consider letting their children walk or bicycle to destinations that are within a few kilometres of home rather than driving them to those destinations.

- Create a culture of active transportation, similar to many European and African nations\(^{93}\) where active transportation is the norm; this may involve alleviating parental safety concerns (e.g., “stranger danger”) by informing them of the low risks involved.\(^{94}\)

- Safety concerns of parents remain a predominant barrier to active transportation. Engineering to increase traffic control devices (e.g., traffic lights, signs, crosswalks), enforcing lower speeds around schools, and increasing supervision (e.g., traffic guards) continue to be strongly recommended. Educational initiatives may also be helpful in promoting the broad benefits of active travel and in reframing parental perceptions of risk.

- To reduce vehicle congestion, motor vehicle collisions and exposure to air pollution, schools should develop an active school travel plan that encourages children to use active modes of transportation.

- Consider the use of “walking school buses” (i.e., group of children walking to school with one or more adults) to support families in adopting active transport while sharing the responsibility among parents/guardians to lessen any negative impact/inconvenience.

Research Gaps

- National-level surveillance is needed on how frequently children and youth engage in active travel to and from destinations other than school.

- Researchers should consider using objective measures of active transportation (e.g., GPS loggers) in their studies.

- Active school travel intervention research is needed in Canada that includes appropriate time for follow-up, standardized outcome measures, and potential moderators and mediators of travel behaviour change.\(^{90}\)

- Research is needed that focuses on older children and the feasibility of interventions to promote active school travel among teenagers, as much of the currently available research in active transportation has focused on young children and the elementary school setting.

- A child’s independent mobility range (i.e., their freedom to move around in public space without adult supervision) is positively associated with their active transportation and overall physical activity levels.\(^{91,92}\) More research is needed on how to facilitate children’s independent mobility.

- An assessment of how mixed modes of transportation (e.g., walking to a train station) contribute to the accumulation of daily physical activity would be helpful for policy and transport planning.
Literature Synthesis

Active transportation involves walking and/or wheeling to/from destinations. It can be an important source of MVPA for children and youth; however, recent research suggests that in the average child it contributes less to overall MVPA when compared to outdoor active play, curriculum-based physical activity, and organized sport. Statistics show that over 65% of trips made by children (e.g., to/from home, friends' homes, school, parks and green spaces) involve a vehicle. This statistic does not appear to be improving; in fact, recent HBSC data show that active transportation has slightly decreased since 2010 (Figure 4). This is concerning given that children and youth who walk or cycle to and from school are more physically active compared to children who use passive school transport (e.g., motorized vehicles). More specifically, girls and boys who walk or cycle to and from school accumulate an additional 4.7 and 2.4 minutes of daily MVPA, respectively.

Figure 4. Proportion (%) of students in grades 6 to 10 who use active transportation to school, from 2010 to 2018 (2018 HBSC, PHAC).
Barriers to Active Transportation

To increase the proportion of children and youth who use active modes of transportation, researchers have identified important barriers. A study of approximately 1,300 children aged 9 to 14 years from southern Ontario sheds light on differences between parent- and child-perceived barriers to active travel.

Physical environment (e.g., distance from home) as well as interpersonal (e.g., parent education) and intrapersonal (e.g., child’s gender and age) factors were identified. Parents’ perceptions of barriers had a greater influence on children’s active school travel than children’s perceptions. Parent-perceived barriers to children’s active travel to school include distance (i.e., too far/takes too much time), whereas child-perceived barriers include a lack of trees. In another study, the weight of adolescents’ school bags was perceived by both parents and adolescents as a barrier to active travel, with perceptions differing by mode of transport (Figure 5).

Interestingly, actual school bag weight (ranging from 0.8-13.3 kg) did not differ by mode of transport to school, suggesting that perceptions – not actual weight – were more important in affecting active travel.

Safety of the commute is another major barrier to active school transportation, with pedestrian-motor-vehicle collisions occurring most frequently when there are no traffic control devices (e.g., traffic lights, signs) or when there are fewer speed bumps.

Somewhat counterintuitively, cycling on divided roads without barriers (as opposed to with barriers) is associated with lower risk of collision, which may be a consequence of cyclists choosing roads that are in more traffic-calm areas.

Figure 5. Proportion (%) comparing adolescent and parental responses regarding weight of school bag affecting active travel, by adolescents’ mode of transport to school. In the table below, the percentages show the proportion of children and parents who perceived the weight of the school bag as a barrier to active travel, by mode of transport to school:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transport</th>
<th>Child: I have too much to carry to walk to school</th>
<th>Child: I have too much to carry to cycle to school</th>
<th>Parent: My child has too much to carry to walk or cycle to school</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active transport</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined active and motorized transport</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorized transport</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Child: “I have too much to carry to walk to school”

Child: “I have too much to carry to cycle to school”

Parent: “My child has too much to carry to walk or cycle to school”

Full Report
Barriers to Active Transportation

To increase the proportion of children and youth who use active modes of transportation, researchers have identified important barriers. A study of approximately 1,300 children aged 9 to 14 years from southern Ontario sheds light on differences between parent- and child-perceived barriers to active travel. Physical environment (e.g., distance from home) as well as interpersonal (e.g., parent education) and intrapersonal (e.g., child’s gender and age) factors were identified. Parents’ perceptions of barriers had a greater influence on children’s active school travel than children's perceptions. Parent-perceived barriers to children’s active travel to school include distance (i.e., too far/takes too much time), whereas child-perceived barriers include a lack of trees. In another study, the weight of adolescents’ school bags was perceived by both parents and adolescents as a barrier to active travel, with perceptions differing by mode of transport (Figure 5). Interestingly, actual school bag weight (ranging from 0.8-13.3 kg) did not differ by mode of transport to school, suggesting that perceptions – not actual weight – were more important in affecting active travel.

Another safety concern for active transportation is exposure to air pollution. Ultrafine particulate air pollution has been identified as an environmental factor that contributes to adverse health effects in children. Despite this concern, a recent study based out of London, Ontario, showed that students who walked to and from school were exposed to less particulate air pollution compared with those who rode in cars or in a school bus. Though school buses serve as a means to reduce the number of vehicles on the road and therefore can reduce overall air pollution levels, efforts should be made to retire diesel buses and replace them with newer versions that run on compressed natural gas or electricity (hybrid vehicles) to further reduce childhood exposure to air pollution.

Contributing Factors and Disparities

Previous Report Cards list several factors that are related to active transportation in children and youth (e.g., age, gender, walking distance to school, parental support). More recent research continues to confirm these factors: older children, children without siblings, households with no vehicles, and children who live closer to school are more likely to use active travel. New data from a national survey of students in grades 6 to 10 in Canada (2018 HBSC, PHAC) reveal that boys continue to engage in slightly more active travel to all destinations than girls (15.0 vs. 13.3 minutes per day in grades 6 to 8 boys and girls, respectively; 15.2 vs. 13.6 minutes per day in grades 9 to 10 boys and girls, respectively).
Organized Sport

This year’s grade is a B and has not changed in three consecutive Report Cards. Data reveal that the majority of Canadian children and youth participate in organized sport.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Benchmark: Percentage of children and youth who participate in organized sport programs.
Key Findings

- Approximately three-quarters of children and youth in Canada participate in organized physical activity or sport:
  - 77% of 5- to 19-year-olds participate in organized physical activities or sports, according to their parents (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI).
  - 66% of students in grades 6 to 10 currently participate in individual and/or team sports, based on self-report data (2018 HBSC, PHAC).

- 5- to 11-year-olds accumulate approximately 17 minutes per day of physical activity through participation in organized lessons, or league or team sports, according to their parents (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

- 12- to 17-year-olds report spending approximately 34 minutes per day in organized/unorganized sport and exercise during leisure time (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

Research Gaps

- Understanding the contribution of school-based vs. community-based sport participation is important, as is identifying potential gender and age disparities within these key settings.

- There is a need for more data on physical activity and participation in sport and/or recreation among Indigenous children and youth. In addition, tracking should be conducted on a regular basis of progress on the sport and recreation recommendations in the Truth and Reconciliation Commission report.

- More research is needed to examine the effectiveness of programs that subsidize sport participation for children and youth living in low-income families (e.g., KidSport).

- Further understanding is required of children’s and youths’ engagement in sport in rural and remote regions of the country.

Recommendations

- Support sport policymakers and practitioners with tools and information on applying shared principles, strategies and interventions across community sport and recreation, education and public health.

- Expose children to a variety of different sports (“sport sampling”) as opposed to early sport specialization, given that data show sport sampling is more favourable for lifelong physical activity.

- Ensure sport offerings are inclusive of children with disabilities.

- Provide sport offerings that are attractive to children of new immigrant families and those from a variety of ethnic, socio-economic and cultural backgrounds.
Literature Synthesis

Most children and youth in Canada participate in sports (Figure 6). This is encouraging given that organized sport participation has numerous short- and long-term benefits. For example, organized sport participation is associated with active play, lower odds of engaging in unhealthy lifestyle habits (e.g., intake of unhealthy food and beverages, high screen-based activity, substance use), better pro-social behaviour and fewer internalizing problems (e.g., emotional problems), lower body mass index and better physical fitness scores, and better health-related quality of life. Evidence from a 28-year longitudinal study showed that females who participated in organized sport as children had approximately 2 times greater odds of engaging in healthy habits in adulthood than females who did not participate in organized sport as children. Other longitudinal research shows that children who did not participate in organized sport (or participated only once or twice) exhibited higher emotional distress, shyness and social withdrawal later in life than their counterparts. Despite these benefits, some children and youth do not participate in organized sport because of barriers, such as time, cost, lack of opportunity/accessibility, lack of perceived ability, and others’ perceptions (e.g., fear of being judged/embarrassed).

Figure 6. Proportion (%) of 5- to 19-year-olds in Canada participating in organized physical activity or sport according to their parents, by province/territory and region (2014-2016 CANPLAY, CFLRI).

Notes: The red, dashed line represents the national average (77%); data were unavailable for Nunavut.
Concussions

Sport participation is the leading cause of concussion among students in grades 6-10 (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Data show that 11.0% of students in grades 6 to 10 had suffered a concussion within the previous 12 months, with 8.6% having a single concussion and 2.3% having two or more concussions (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Among concussion sufferers, 69.1% occurred while students were playing a sport, with 32.7% occurring during a sport that involved intentional contact and 26.4% occurring in a sport that did not involve intentional contact.

New research findings have added to an established body of literature documenting the harmful consequences of concussion for children and youth. For instance, youth with a sport-related concussion missed significantly more days of school than their peers who suffered a sport-related fracture. In another study, parents of youth with a history of concussion reported that their children had greater physical, cognitive, depressive and anxiety symptoms than did parents of youth who had an orthopedic injury; yet there was no difference in self-reported symptoms or cognitive testing between youth with a history of concussion and youth who had an orthopedic injury.
Determinants of Sport-Related Concussions

Research has been conducted to explore the determinants of sport-related concussion and its associated symptoms among children and youth. Youth athletes who reported poor sleep quality had significantly greater concussion symptom severity at three-month follow-up and took longer to recover from sport-related concussion than those who reported good sleep quality. Other evidence shows that while disallowing body checking resulted in a 56% lower rate of all injury among non-elite 13- and 14-year-old male ice hockey players from the provinces of British Columbia and Alberta, there was not a significantly lower rate of concussion. Further, a study showed that the concussion rate among youth male ice hockey players who failed to reach the Canadian recommendations of 60 minutes of MVPA per day was more than double the concussion rate of ice hockey players who met the physical activity recommendations.

Using Physical Activity to Help Recover from a Concussion

Maintaining a physically active lifestyle can enhance recovery from concussion. An exercise-based active rehabilitation intervention increased quality of life and decreased anger levels of youth who were slow to recover from concussion, while a mindfulness-based yoga intervention with youth with persistent concussion symptoms resulted in trends of increased self-efficacy in academic, social and emotional areas. When it comes to acute sport-related concussion, one study reported that engaging in aerobic exercise at three or seven days following concussion rather than within one day was associated with a reduced probability of faster full return to both sport and school. At the same time, other research found that youth athletes who accumulated a high (vs. low) amount of time in MVPA over the first three days following a concussion took significantly more time to be cleared to return to play. The Canadian Guideline on Concussion in Sport (released in July 2017) was informed by the Berlin Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport, which recommended that athletes rest for 24-48 hours following acute concussion. This recommendation is consistent with other evidenced-based recommendations, including the American Medical Society for Sports Medicine position statement on concussion in sport, which concluded that, after a brief period of rest, acutely concussed athletes could gradually increase physical and cognitive activity as long as concussion symptoms did not re-emerge or worsen.

Contributing Factors and Disparities

Though no gender-related disparities exist in overall sport participation rates, there are age- and socio-economic-related disparities: 5- to 14-year-olds are more likely to participate in sports than 15- to 19-year-olds, and children and youth living in the highest-income households ($\geq$ $100,000 per year) are most likely to play sports. Regional disparities also exist: sport participation is higher among children and youth living in the Northwest Territories (85%) compared to the national average (77%). New 2016-17 CHMS data show that:

- Girls with no siblings or one sibling participated in more organized sports, lessons and leagues compared to girls with two or more siblings.
- Girls in two-parent households participated in more organized sports, lessons and leagues compared to girls in one-parent households.
The benchmarks for this indicator relate to the proportion of children and youth who receive at least 150 minutes of physical education (PE) per week during class time at school, the proportion of high school students taking PE, and the proportion of children and youth who receive daily physical activity (DPA). This year’s grade for the Physical Education indicator is a D+, which is a slight decline from the C- assigned in 2018. Recent 2016-17 CHMS data reveal that 36-37% of children and youth are receiving 150 minutes of physical activity per week at school, while there are no new data for the other benchmarks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td>D+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Percentage of students in grades K-8 receiving at least 150 minutes of PE per week.
- Percentage of high school students taking PE.
- Percentage of students in grades K-8 receiving DPA in provinces that have DPA policies.
Key Findings

- 37% of 5- to 11-year-olds in Canada receive at least 150 minutes of physical activity per week during class time at school, according to their parents (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis

- No observed differences exist between the proportion of boys (35%) and girls (38%) aged 5-11 years who receive at least 150 minutes of physical activity per week during class time at school (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis

- 36% of 12- to 17-year-olds in Canada report getting at least 150 minutes of physical activity per week during class time and free time at school (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis

- No observed differences exist between the proportion of boys (36%) and girls (37%) aged 12-17 years who receive at least 150 minutes of physical activity per week during class time and free time at school (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis

- 41% of students in grades 6 to 10 in Canada accumulate at least 150 minutes of physical activity per week during class time at school (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis

- 61% of students in grades 9 to 12 in British Columbia, Alberta, Nunavut, Ontario and Quebec are taking a PE class in the current school year (2016-17 COMPASS, University of Waterloo). Custom analysis

- On an average school day, students in grades 6 to 10 in Canada accumulate 26 minutes of physical activity during class time (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis

- According to parent-reported data, 5- to 11-year-olds spend 45 minutes per day participating in physical activity at school during free time, and 25 minutes per day participating in physical activity at school during class time (2016-17 CHMS). Custom analysis

- 12- to 17-year-olds spend 25 minutes per day participating in physical activity at school, based on self-report (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis
Research Gaps

▶ Research is needed to examine the disconnect between PE/DPA policies and low adherence rates.
▶ Research is necessary to examine objectively measured physical activity levels in PE class, as it is challenging for parents to know, and therefore accurately report, how much activity their children are accumulating in the school setting.
▶ Accurate understanding of the uptake and implementation of DPA in schools is warranted.
▶ More recent numbers are needed on the proportion of Canadian students receiving instruction from PE specialists (i.e., those specifically trained in PE).

Recommendations

▶ Schools should treat PE and DPA with the same respect as they do core subjects such as math, science and social studies.
▶ Focus on enjoyment and inclusiveness rather than on competition and specialization while ensuring high-quality PE by trained and competent teachers.
▶ Prioritize efforts to increase PE frequency and enhance the PE curriculum to support children’s and youths’ movement behaviours and learning.
▶ Invest in training generalist teachers in PE-specific skills to facilitate their self-efficacy for instruction as well as the quality of the curriculum.

Literature Synthesis

Canadian children and youth have plenty of opportunities to be physically active during class time at school such as with PE class, DPA (i.e., physical activity during classroom instructional time) and special movement-oriented school events (e.g., outdoor field trip, dance assembly). PE is recognized as a key component that substantially contributes to children’s and youth’s accumulation of MVPA, reduces sedentary time during the school day, and equips children and youth with fundamental motor skills needed to live an active lifestyle.
How Much Physical Activity Do Children Accumulate in Curriculum-Based Activities?

Several studies have investigated the amount of physical activity that students accumulate during school curriculum as well as students’ frequency/duration of PE lessons. For example, Canadian researchers found that 10- to 13-year-olds from Ontario accumulated about 130 minutes per week of curriculum-based physical activity during the school year. Results also showed that children spent less time participating in curriculum-based physical activity (26 min/day) than they did participating in outdoor active play (36 min/day) and organized sports (40 min/day), and that only 27% of curriculum-based physical activity time was devoted to moderate- to vigorous-intensity movement (Figure 7). In another study, children were more likely to meet the physical activity recommendation of at least 60 minutes of MVPA per day when they participated in PE for at least two days per week or engaged in more than 90 minutes per week in PE.

Figure 7. Proportion (%) of total time spent by 10- to 13-year-olds in outdoor active play, active travel, curriculum-based physical activity, and organized sports, by different movement intensities.
The ‘What’ and ‘Where’ of PE Lessons

There is emerging evidence on the types of activities during PE lessons (e.g., team ball games, gymnastics, track and field) that are most effective in increasing physical activity, especially MVPA.\(^{131,132}\) A study conducted with Japanese primary school students found that total time spent in MVPA was significantly longer when children were participating in ball games compared to gymnastics and track and field.\(^{131}\) Findings from a systematic review lend further support for the relationship between team ball games and MVPA; students were consistently more active during team ball games compared to other types of activities, such as dance and gymnastics.\(^{132}\) The context of where PE occurs has also been shown to influence MVPA. Secondary school students spent significantly more time in MVPA during outdoor PE lessons compared to indoor PE lessons.\(^{132}\)

Psychological Benefits of Physical Activity during PE Classes

MVPA during PE class has been associated with numerous psychological benefits, such as higher beliefs regarding abilities to achieve goals/success, interest in tasks and increased enjoyment.\(^{133}\) Students’ positive experiences in PE class are often triggered by attractiveness of the task, social belonging, perceived competence, and autonomy concerning emotional experience.\(^{133}\) Thus, an environment in which students feel independent, competent and connected with their peers is crucial in facilitating positive experiences.

Contributing Factors and Disparities

Despite a lack of gender difference observed in national-level parent- and self-reported physical activity at school (2016-17 CHMS),\(^{96}\) other research has identified the presence of some gender-, ethnicity- and age-related disparities:

- Boys engage in more MVPA during PE lessons than girls.\(^{130,131,132,134}\)
- Boys spend more time being active during PE lessons (28 minutes per day) than girls (24 minutes per day).\(^{96}\)
- Caucasian students spend more time being active during PE lessons than African Canadian students.\(^{132}\)
- Primary school children in lower grades spend more time engaged in MVPA during PE class than children in higher grades.\(^{131}\)
- Younger students (6-10 years) participate more frequently and for longer durations in PE per week compared to older students (11-17 years).\(^{130}\)

Inconsistencies between studies are likely attributable to a range of differences between studies, including (but not limited to) differences in measurement technique (e.g., accelerometer vs. self- or parent-reported), populations of study (e.g., national sample vs. smaller regional samples) and mode of data collection (e.g., in-person vs. computer vs. telephone interviewing).
The grade this year is a D+. This improvement from a D in 2018 does not represent an increase in the proportion of children and youth meeting screen time guidelines. Rather, the grade change reflects the fact that this indicator grade (as well as others) in the 2018 Report Card was informed by data on preschoolers (3- to 4-year-olds) in addition to data on children and youth (5- to 17-year-olds). In 2018, the proportion of preschoolers who met their age-specific screen time recommendation was less than the proportion of children and youth (13% for preschoolers vs. 33% for 5- to 9-year-olds and 53% of 10- to 17-year-olds), bringing the overall weighted proportion of 3- to 17-year-olds meeting their age-specific benchmarks to 29%. Data from various sources (2016-17 CHMS, 2018 HBSC, 2014-17 Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy [CAPL] and 2016-17 Cohort Study for Obesity, Marijuana Use, Physical Activity, Alcohol Use, Smoking and Sedentary Behaviour [COMPASS]) suggest that the proportion of 5- to 17-year-olds meeting their age-specific benchmark is 38%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F/Inc*</td>
<td>F/Inc*</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D-</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who meet the screen time recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (no more than two hours of recreational screen time per day on average).² ³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In 2011 and 2012, there were two separate indicators: Screen-Based Sedentary Behaviours and Non-Screen Sedentary Behaviours. Following 2012, these indicators were collapsed into a single indicator.
**Key Findings**

- There is considerable variability by dataset and age group in the proportion of children and youth in Canada who meet the screen time recommendation made in the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth:
  - 76% of 5- to 11-year-olds (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada), with more girls than boys in this age group meeting the recommendation (80% vs. 71%) (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada).
  - 28% of 12- to 17-year-olds (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada), with more girls than boys in this age group meeting the recommendation (30% vs. 25%) (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada).
  - 25% of students in grades 6 to 10 (2018 HBSC, PHAC).
  - 54% of 8- to 12-year-olds (2014-17 CAPL, HALO).
  - 6% of students in grades 9 to 12 from representative samples in British Columbia, Alberta, Nunavut, Ontario and Quebec (2016-17 COMPASS, University of Waterloo).
  - 5- to 11-year-olds and 12- to 17-year-olds in Canada spend 1.9 and 3.8 hours per day, respectively, in screen-based sedentary behaviours (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada).
  - Students in grades 6 to 10 in Canada spend 4.6 hours per day in screen time pursuits (2018 HBSC, PHAC).
  - 77% of 5- to 19-year-olds in Canada report watching TV, playing computer or video games, or reading during the afterschool period (from the end of school until dinner) (2014-16 CANPLAY, CFLRI).
  - 12- to 17-year-olds in Canada report spending 0.7 hours per day reading (2016-17 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

- In a study involving 480 Canadian children and youth (4-17 years) with disabilities (e.g., cerebral palsy, spinal cord injury), approximately 17% reported meeting the screen time recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth.\(^ {135} \)

**Research Gaps**

- Most of the available data focuses on TV, computer and video game use, and little is known about the amount of time children and youth spend on smartphones and specific applications.
- Current data on daily screen use is based on self- or parent-report surveys, which have a high risk of bias. New technologies allow for the objective measurement of screen-based sedentary behaviours, which could lead to more accurate measurement of these behaviours among children and youth.
- More research is needed on the impact of replacing screen-based sedentary behaviours with non-screen-based sedentary behaviours such as reading or playing games.
- Researchers need to develop and validate a standardized questionnaire that captures aspects of sedentary behaviour including screen time, passive travel, sitting at school, etc.

**Recommendations**

- Involve all family members in the creation of a family media plan that includes setting limits around screen viewing, prioritizing screen-free family time, removing screens from children’s bedrooms and having screen-free family meals.
- All family members should be mindful of their own time spent on screens, as this may influence other members’ screen time behaviour.
- Be present and engaged when screen viewing, and avoid using multiple screens at once (“stacking”).
Literature Synthesis

Sedentary behaviour refers to any waking behaviour characterized by low energy expenditure (≤ 1.5 metabolic equivalents) while in a sitting, reclining or lying posture. Common examples include engaging in screen-based pursuits (e.g., watching television, browsing the Internet, playing video games, doing homework on a computer, using social media) while seated, traditional desk-and-chair-based work at school, reading a book while seated, completing schoolwork at home while seated, and traveling to/from school via school bus or car.

Screen time is ubiquitous. According to population data, children and youth both in Canada and abroad are spending excessive amounts of daily time being sedentary (≥ 8 hours per day). Statistics from the United States show that 53% of children have their own smartphone by age 11, and this statistic increases to 69% by age 12. In Canada, approximately 50% of 11- to 15-year-olds report using social media to communicate with close friends ‘several times each day’ or ‘almost all the time throughout the day’ (Table 2).

Table 2. Proportion (%) of 11- to 15-year-olds who have online contact (e.g., texting, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram) with groups of people (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis.
Previous systematic reviews have found that screen-based sedentary behaviours are negatively associated with children’s and youths’ physical, cognitive, emotional and social development. New evidence continues to confirm findings from previous research. For example, greater screen time among children and youth is linked with poor child development, lower social connectedness, lower social skills, poor academic achievement, greater adiposity, lower well-being, insufficient sleep, and greater risk of depression and anxiety.

Research also shows that social media use is negatively associated with sleep duration, school connectedness and academic performance. Findings from a three-year longitudinal study examining the impact of sedentary behaviour on academic achievement of over 4,000 secondary school students show that specific screen-based sedentary pursuits (e.g., watching/streaming television shows/movies) decrease the likelihood of surpassing English standards, whereas engaging in communication-based sedentary activities (e.g., texting, messaging, emailing) decreases the likelihood of surpassing math standards.

To date, most studies and public health surveillance have relied on self-report and/or parent-report measures to assess screen time in children and youth. However, these subjective instruments (e.g., questionnaires) are prone to some inherent limitations, including social desirability response bias, recall bias and potential under-reporting screen use. Objective measures for estimating screen time are needed to provide complementary, and potentially more accurate, insights. Researchers world-wide are beginning to develop and test objective methods of screen time assessment, such as custom-built smartphone applications for adults. For children aged 3 to 5 years, scientists at Deakin University in Australia and the University of Strathclyde in the United Kingdom are examining the feasibility of using wearable cameras to assess screen time.

Family characteristics linked with children’s and youths’ increased screen time include high parental screen time, low parental confidence to limit children’s screen time, and little/no parental screen time monitoring and restriction. Cannabis use is also linked with sedentary behaviour; a large study involving 46,957 Canadian youth found a positive association between cannabis use and total screen-based sedentary behaviour. In a representative sample of Canadian students in grades 7 to 12, smoking cannabis was linked with increased risk of poor compliance to screen time recommendations.

Contributing Factors and Disparities

Family characteristics linked with children’s and youths’ increased screen time include high parental screen time, low parental confidence to limit children’s screen time, and little/no parental screen time monitoring and restriction. Cannabis use is also linked with sedentary behaviour; a large study involving 46,957 Canadian youth found a positive association between cannabis use and total screen-based sedentary behaviour. In a representative sample of Canadian students in grades 7 to 12, smoking cannabis was linked with increased risk of poor compliance to screen time recommendations.
This year’s grade is a B, which represents a slight decline from the B+ assigned in 2018. Available data suggest that approximately 70% of children and youth meet their age-specific sleep recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Benchmark | Percentage of children and youth who meet the sleep duration recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (5- to 13-year-olds: 9-11 hours per night, on average; 14- to 17-year-olds: 8-10 hours per night, on average).²³
Key Findings

- Almost 70% of school-aged children and youth in Canada meet the sleep duration recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth.\textsuperscript{2,3}
  - 74% of 5- to 17-year-olds (2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada)\textsuperscript{Custom analysis}
  - 65% of students in grades 6 to 10 (2018 HBSC, PHAC)\textsuperscript{Custom analysis}

- Sleep duration in Canadian children and youth is approximately 8-9 hours per night:
  - 5- to 11-year-olds are asleep for 9.7 hours, according to their parents (2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada)\textsuperscript{Custom analysis}
  - 12- to 17-year-olds are asleep for 8.2 hours, based on self-report (2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada)\textsuperscript{Custom analysis}
  - Students in grades 6 to 10 self-report that they sleep for 8.9 hours per night on average (2018 HBSC, PHAC)\textsuperscript{Custom analysis}

- 38% of students in grades 6 to 10 in Canada have trouble falling asleep at bedtime or staying asleep during the night, at least sometimes (2018 HBSC, PHAC)\textsuperscript{Custom analysis}

- 74% of students in grades 6 to 10 in Canada find it difficult to stay awake during their normal waking hours, at least sometimes (2018 HBSC, PHAC).\textsuperscript{Custom analysis}
Research Gaps

- Research is needed to test the cost-effectiveness of public health policy recommendations aimed at improving sleep and health outcomes in children and youth.

- Existing sleep surveillance data of Canadian children and youth are based on parental or self-reports. Objective monitoring of sleep with the use of actigraphy/accelerometry is needed to have a better picture of sleep health of young Canadians.

- A consensus needs to be reached on the characteristics used to assess sleep health of the pediatric population. Some key characteristics of sleep health include sleep duration, sleep quality, sleep timing, sleep consistency and the absence of sleep disorders.

- Sleep questions used in national health surveys need to be updated and validated to reflect new research.

Recommendations

- Canada needs a national media campaign that aims to change the social norm around sleep as being a waste of time toward a social norm where sleep is seen as a daily behaviour that is as important for good health as a healthy diet and physically active lifestyle.

- Middle and high schools should not start classes earlier than 8:30 a.m., to accommodate the well-known circadian phase delay of up to two hours that occurs in middle childhood.

- Daylight savings time should be eliminated because it is disruptive to sleep and linked to accidents and adverse effects on health.

- Extracurricular activities for adolescents should end no later than 9:00 p.m., as that would help them meet sleep duration recommendations.

- Sleep health literacy should be integrated into school curriculums, as this would help students build the foundations of life-long health.
Literature Synthesis

Sleep is essential for the health, development and daily functioning of children and adolescents. Healthy sleep encompasses many dimensions, including adequate duration, good quality, appropriate timing and the absence of sleep disorders. However, insufficient sleep has become common in today’s society, and the most recent findings indicate that approximately one-fourth to one-third of Canadian children and youth sleep less than recommended for optimal health. Furthermore, recent Canadian data on 6- to 79-year-olds show that the prevalence of insomnia is increasing. These statistics are not encouraging because a growing body of scientific evidence shows that lack of sleep threatens the academic success, health and safety of children and youth.

Reasons for not sleeping enough are multiple and complex, and vary widely among people. Factors associated with insufficient sleep can include socio-demographic factors, lack of time, excessive screen use, caffeine consumption, lack of parental monitoring, work/school demands or social activities. The ideal amount of sleep required each night can vary between individuals due to genetic factors and other reasons, and it is important to adapt our recommendations on a case-by-case basis. Sleep duration recommendations (public health approach) are well suited to provide guidance at the population level; however, as the ideal amount of sleep required each night can vary between individuals, recommendations provided at the individual level (e.g., in clinic) should be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Despite the fact that there is no “magic number” for the ideal amount of sleep, we need to continue to promote sleep health for all Canadians, as it is an important public health issue that needs to be addressed.

The concept of sleep health is gaining momentum globally. Rather than “medicalizing” sleep with a focus on sleep disorders and their treatment, there is growing interest in sleep health promotion for all and on the prevention of health problems by keeping healthy people healthy. In Canada, sleep health is increasingly becoming part of a holistic vision of health, and this provides a metric for health promotion efforts. One of the outcomes of this evolving understanding of sleep health in Canada has been the release in 2016 of the world’s first integrated 24-hour movement guidelines for the pediatric population. They were the first systematic review-informed sleep guidelines in Canada, and provided important benchmarks for surveillance. They also integrated sleep health with other movement behaviours by putting emphasis on movement across the full 24-hour period rather than on individual intensities of movement. The future of pediatric sleep health in Canada is thus bright, and we need to align our efforts and continue to push for the integration of 24-hour movement behaviours in the public health arena.
Sleep and the Family Unit

Family systems are dynamic and include reciprocal interactions among family members at night and during the day. When children have difficulty sleeping, they often awaken parents, thereby impacting the parents’ sleep and possibly daytime functioning. Parental behaviours can also disrupt children’s sleep patterns. Thus, children’s sleep cannot be understood in isolation and it is important to view sleep from a family context. In general, parents who value the importance of sleep are more likely to have children who have a good night’s sleep.\textsuperscript{167}

Parental knowledge of children’s sleep has recently been examined in a systematic review.\textsuperscript{168} In general, parent knowledge of children’s sleep needs, routines and problems was poor. Greater accuracy was reported for items pertaining to healthy sleep practices at bedtime and daytime symptoms in comparison with items pertaining to child sleep problems during the night. More knowledgeable parents were more likely to report that their children had healthy sleep practices.\textsuperscript{168} This finding is in line with recent results showing that parents with better sleep knowledge, higher income and higher education were more likely to report that their children had earlier bedtimes and wake-up times, and more consistent sleep routines.\textsuperscript{169}

### Table 3: Proportion (%) of students in grades 6 to 10 who report using digital devices within one hour of going to bed (2018 HBSC, PHAC), by frequency. Custom analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>1 or 2 nights a week</th>
<th>3 or 4 nights a week</th>
<th>5 or 6 nights a week</th>
<th>Nightly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cellphone</strong></td>
<td><strong>21.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TV</strong></td>
<td><strong>45.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>19.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>7.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer/tablet</strong></td>
<td><strong>41.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>18.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>8.7</strong></td>
<td><strong>20.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multi-device use</strong> (cellphone, TV, computer/tablet)</td>
<td><strong>7.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>9.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>60.9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contributing Factors and Disparities

While there are no sex-related differences in sleep duration in school-aged children and youth (2009-11 and 2012-13 CHMS), children sleep less as they get older (i.e., 5- to 11-year-olds get 9.6 hours of sleep per night on average compared to 8.3 hours per night among 12- to 17-year-olds). Looking at trends over time, data from the United States reveal that declines in sleep duration over the past several years have been more pronounced in girls, racial/ethnic minorities and those from low socio-economic backgrounds. Whether this is also the case in Canada is currently unclear.

Top 5 tips for better sleep

1. Ensure children go to bed and wake up at consistent times that allow them to obtain age-appropriate amounts of sleep.

2. Develop a relaxing bedtime routine (e.g., bathing, music, reading).

3. Limit access to digital devices (e.g., cellphones, TVs) during and after bedtime by removing from children's bedrooms (Table 3).

4. Encourage children to be physically active every day (ideally outside).

5. Make sure the child’s bedroom is dark, quiet, comfortable and cool.
This year’s grade remains an F because less than a fifth of children and youth in Canada meet all three recommendations pertaining to physical activity, screen time and sleep within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth.\textsuperscript{2,3}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Benchmark | Percentage of children and youth who meet the physical activity, screen time and sleep recommendations within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Behaviour Guidelines for Children and Youth.\textsuperscript{2,3}
Key Findings

Less than a fifth of children and youth in Canada meet all three recommendations within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth:

- 15% of 5- to 17-year-olds (2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada) \cite{Custom analysis}
- 10% of students in grades 6 to 10 (2018 HBSC, PHAC) \cite{Custom analysis}
- 39% and 41% of students in grades 6 to 10 meet only one and two of three recommendations, respectively (2018, HSBC, PHAC) \cite{Custom analysis}
- In a study involving 480 Canadian children and youth (4-17 years) with disabilities (e.g., cerebral palsy, spinal cord injury), less than 1% meet all three recommendations within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth. \cite{135}

Research Gaps

- Objective measurement of all movement behaviours is needed to improve our understanding of the number of children and youth meeting the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth.
- Research is needed that examines intermediate combinations of movement behaviours (e.g., high physical activity + low sedentary behaviour + high sleep vs. low physical activity + high sedentary behaviour + low sleep).

Recommendations

- Speak to parents, teachers and clinicians about children’s physical activity, sedentary and sleep behaviours and how these behaviours contribute to the children’s overall health and well-being.
- Encourage parents to implement specific plans (how, when, where) that support children’s movement behaviours.

Literature Synthesis

The Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (5-17 years) are the first evidence-based guidelines that target an entire day (24 hours). \cite{171} These guidelines recommend that children and youth accumulate at least 60 minutes per day of MVPA, no more than 2 hours per day of recreational screen time (while limiting sitting for extended periods), and 8 to 11 hours of sleep per night (9-11 hours for those aged 5-13 years and 8-10 hours for those aged 14-17 years). Prior to the release of these guidelines in 2017, the benefits of being physically active, reducing sedentary time and screen time, and obtaining adequate sleep were for the most part evaluated in isolation. \cite{172}

Today, with the development of the movement guidelines, there is an emphasis on understanding the health benefits and consequences of when children and youth meet – or do not meet – each movement behaviour recommendation within a 24-hour period.

Research on children’s and youths’ movement behaviours continually shows that children and youth who meet combinations of higher physical activity, lower levels of sedentary behaviour and screen time, and adequate sleep have better health outcomes. For example, a recent study examining longitudinal impacts of the movement behaviours on academic achievement of Canadian youth showed that students who adhered to a greater number of recommendations performed better than students who adhered to fewer recommendations. \cite{172}
The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study is the longest long-term study on brain development and child health in the United States. Using the ABCD baseline data involving approximately 4,500 children (9-10 years), researchers from HALO published two papers exploring the relationships between meeting the movement behaviour recommendations and health outcomes. In the first study, children who met all three movement behaviour recommendations had higher global cognition scores than children who did not meet any recommendations. In fact, global cognition was positively linked with each additional recommendation met. This study also showed that only 5% of children met all three movement behaviours. Figure 8 shows a breakdown of the proportion of children who met different combinations of movement behaviour recommendations. In the second study, children who met all three recommendations were found to have lower scores of impulsivity – one’s tendency to act without thinking – than children who did not meet any recommendations.

Movement Guidelines Momentum
Canada was the first country to develop and release integrated movement guidelines for 5- to 17-year-old children and youth as well as for the early years (0-4 years old). The utility of this integrated approach is demonstrated by the subsequent development and release of 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the early years in Australia, New Zealand and South Africa, and by the World Health Organization. Furthermore, the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) recently developed a conceptual framework, which covers the full spectrum of physical movement. The framework broadened the scope of physical activity surveillance to include all movement behaviours (light physical activity, MVPA, sedentary time, sleep), and applies a socio-ecological approach accounting for the societal environments in which movement behaviours take place.
Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study

The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study is the longest long-term study on brain development and child health in the United States. Using the ABCD baseline data involving approximately 4,500 children (9-10 years), researchers from HALO published two papers exploring the relationships between meeting the movement behaviour recommendations and health outcomes. In the first study, children who met all three movement behaviour recommendations had higher global cognition scores than children who did not meet any recommendations. In fact, global cognition was positively linked with each additional recommendation met. This study also showed that only 5% of children met all three movement behaviours. Figure 8 shows a breakdown of the proportion of children who met different combinations of movement behaviour recommendations. In the second study, children who met all three recommendations were found to have lower scores of impulsivity – one’s tendency to act without thinking – than children who did not meet any recommendations.

Movement Guidelines Momentum

Canada was the first country to develop and release integrated movement guidelines for 5- to 17-year-old children and youth as well as for the early years (0-4 years old). The utility of this integrated approach is demonstrated by the subsequent development and release of 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the early years in Australia, New Zealand and South Africa, and by the World Health Organization. Furthermore, the Public Health Agency of Canada (PHAC) recently developed a conceptual framework, which covers the full spectrum of physical movement. The framework broadened the scope of physical activity surveillance to include all movement behaviours (light physical activity, MVPA, sedentary time, sleep), and applies a socio-ecological approach accounting for the societal environments in which movement behaviours take place.
 Contributing Factors and Disparities

Table 4 summarizes the time boys and girls spent in different physical activities, sedentary behaviour and sleep throughout a typical 24-hour period (1,440 minutes per day) (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis. The data generally show that boys engage in more physical activity and have higher levels of sedentary screen time than girls, and that sleep duration is relatively equal across different age and gender groups.

Table 4. Time (mins/day) spent in different physical activities, sedentary behaviour and sleep throughout a typical 24-hour period, by age and gender (2018 HBSC, PHAC). Custom analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Grades 6-8 Boys</th>
<th>Grades 6-8 Girls</th>
<th>Grades 9-10 Boys</th>
<th>Grades 9-10 Girls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor play</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise in leisure time</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active travel to all destinations</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports and programs</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity during class time</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary screen time</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep duration</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>511.4</td>
<td>564.6</td>
<td>525.1</td>
<td>589.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Individual Characteristics

These indicators speak to specific skills or attributes that impact children’s movement behaviours.
For the third time in a row, this year’s grade remains a D+ because available data suggest that slightly less than half of children are meeting the recommended levels of physical literacy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Inc</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>D+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Benchmark | Percentage of children and youth who meet the recommended levels of physical competence, knowledge and understanding, motivation and confidence and daily behaviours needed for a physically active lifestyle.
Key Findings

- 36% of 8- to 12-year-olds in Canada assessed by CAPL meet or exceed the minimum level recommended for physical literacy (2014-17 CAPL, HALO). 176
  - 37% meet or exceed the minimum level recommended for the physical competence domain of physical literacy.
  - 29% meet or exceed the minimum level recommended for the daily behaviour domain of physical literacy.
  - 34% meet or exceed the minimum level recommended for the motivation and confidence domain of physical literacy.
  - 39% meet or exceed the minimum level recommended for the knowledge and understanding domain of physical literacy.

- Note: If readers are aware of, or have access to, physical literacy data that would help to inform this grade, please contact ParticipACTION (info@participaction.com).

Research Gaps

- The sensitivity and specificity of tools that measure physical literacy should be evaluated with children who demonstrate low levels of physical literacy, as well as those who live with physical disabilities. 177
- More evidence is required to support the role of physical literacy as a determinant of overall health. 178
- There is a need for more physical literacy research among specific populations (e.g., new Canadians, low socio-economic status, children with a disability) to better inform targeted interventions. 179
- More research on physical literacy and sport injury prevention/management would benefit young athletes. 180
- There is very little data on the physical literacy of Canadian children. Further assessment is required using validated measures of physical literacy among children and youth.
- Self-report questionnaires that assess physical literacy are needed.
- Development of tools that assess physical literacy in young children are required.
Recommendations

- Interventions addressing physical literacy development should focus on combined physical and psychosocial factors as well as individual characteristics. For example:
  - Programs should not only focus on developing fundamental movement skills, but also on targeting physical competence as a whole (e.g., strength, agility, endurance).\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\)\(^1\)
  - Leaders should use evidence-based, motivational strategies that are theoretically supported to promote confidence in children during active play.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^2\) Fostering motivation and confidence is especially important for children who have limitations in physical competence.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^3\)
  - During competitive games, the social environment should prioritize teamwork and fun over scoring and winning.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^2\)
  - Assessors should anticipate the possibility of missing physical literacy data, especially when using objective devices (e.g., pedometers) to measure daily behaviour.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^4\)
  - Using a combination of physical literacy assessment tools may provide a more holistic and accurate representation of physical literacy.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^5\)

Literature Synthesis

According to the International Physical Literacy Association, physical literacy is defined as “the motivation, confidence, physical competence, knowledge and understanding to value and take responsibility for engagement in physical activities for life.”\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^6\) Researchers have employed a wide range of methods to assess children’s and youths’ physical literacy.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^5\) There are three predominant tools available:

- **Passport for Life** by Physical Health and Education (PHE) Canada: www.passportforlife.ca
- **Physical Literacy Assessment for Youth (PLAY)** by Canadian Sport 4 Life (CS4L): www.play.physicalliteracy.ca
- **Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy, 2nd Edition (CAPL-2)** by HALO: www.capl-eclp.ca

Peer-reviewed reports on the reliability, validity and feasibility of the PLAY and CAPL tools have continued to be published since the release of the 2018 Report Card. For PLAY, research shows that the PLAYfun tool is a valid measure of motor competence,\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^7\) and that scores on the PLAYfun tool are positively associated with objectively measured physical activity.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^8\) Research conducted with children and youth in northern Canada shows that motor competence of physical literacy is most accurately assessed using the PLAYfun tool and two raters.\(^1\)\(^8\)\(^9\) For CAPL, researchers responded to the recognized need to reduce participant/administrative burden and improve theoretical alignment with the development and launch of CAPL-2. Validity testing on CAPL-2 supports the development of a more concise tool (i.e., no anthropometric assessments, shorter questionnaire) and the revised weighting of the total CAPL score to reflect equal importance of the physical competence, daily behaviour, and motivation and confidence domains.\(^1\)\(^9\)\(^0\),\(^1\)\(^9\)\(^1\) Table 5 includes an up-to-date comparison of the physical literacy assessments most widely used in Canada, adapted from previous research.\(^1\)\(^9\)\(^2\)
Table 5. A comparison of the predominant physical literacy assessment tools in Canada.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Tool</th>
<th>Passport for Life</th>
<th>PLAY (PLAYfun)</th>
<th>CAPL-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>PHE Canada</td>
<td>Canadian Sport 4 Life</td>
<td>HALO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages/grades</td>
<td>Grades 3 to 12</td>
<td>Ages 7+</td>
<td>Ages 8 to 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications</td>
<td>Formative assessment in (physical) education; engagement and awareness</td>
<td>Program evaluation and research; formative assessment, screening, surveillance, engagement</td>
<td>Advocacy, monitoring and evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment categories</td>
<td>Fitness skills, movement skills, active participation, living skills</td>
<td>Competence, comprehension and confidence (related to 18 movement tasks)</td>
<td>Physical competence (30%), daily behaviour (30%), motivation and confidence (30%), knowledge and understanding (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment measures</td>
<td>Objective measures (using four-staged rubrics for fitness skills and movement skills; self-reports for active participation (without performance measures) and living skills (using four-staged rubrics))</td>
<td>Objective measures (using two- and four-staged rubrics for competence and comprehension; objective measures (using an analytic rating scale) for confidence</td>
<td>Objective measures (using four-staged rubrics for physical competence (based on a battery of fitness assessments) and daily behaviour (based on daily step count and self-reported MVPA); self-reports for knowledge and understanding and for motivation and confidence (based on questionnaire responses))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance descriptors</td>
<td>Fitness skills, movement skills and living skills: performance is labelled as “Emerging,” “Developing,” “Acquired” or “Accomplished”</td>
<td>Competence: performance is labelled as “Developing” (which includes “Initial” – 0 to 25% and “Emerging” – 25 to 50%) or “Acquired” (which includes “Competent” – 50 to 75% and “Proficient” – 75 to 100%)</td>
<td>Physical competence, daily behaviour, motivation and confidence, knowledge and understanding; performance is labelled as “Beginning,” “Progressing,” “Achieving” or “Excelling”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment time (for one assessor with one class)</td>
<td>Three class periods</td>
<td>Undefined (estimated to be at least four class periods)</td>
<td>Three class periods*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment materials</td>
<td>Activity space (e.g., gymnasium); balls and cones, computers with internet access</td>
<td>Activity space (e.g., gymnasium); balls, cones and sticks</td>
<td>Activity space (e.g., gymnasium); balls and cones, computers with internet access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted assessors</td>
<td>PE teacher or generalist teacher</td>
<td>Trained professionals (e.g., sport and recreation practitioners, PE teachers)</td>
<td>Physical activity professionals, CAPL-2 trained appraisers, PE teachers*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CAPL-2 suggests a minimum of two assessors are necessary – one female and one male.
The number of research studies on physical literacy continues to grow. In October 2018, 14 papers from the Royal Bank of Canada Learn to Play – Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy study (RBC – Learn to Play CAPL) were published in a supplemental issue of *BMC Public Health*. Data in each paper included approximately 10,000 children aged 8 to 12 years, recruited from several provinces across Canada. Selected findings from these works include the following:

- Approximately 13-18% of children fall within the “excelling” level (i.e., “exceeds minimum level recommended”) for physical competence, daily behaviour, motivation and confidence, and knowledge and understanding, with most children falling within the “progressing” level (i.e., “similar to typical performance of same-age peers”).

- Motivational factors for engaging in physical activity are strongly associated with cardiorespiratory endurance.

- Cardiorespiratory fitness is strongly and favourably associated with all components of physical literacy.

- Physical literacy domain scores for physical competence and for motivation and confidence are higher for children meeting physical activity or sedentary behaviour guidelines (vs. those not meeting either guideline).

### Teaching Physical Literacy

Recent literature has focused on best practices for designing interventions to improve physical literacy. A group of Canadian researchers found that children taught by a generalist (vs. a PE specialist) were less likely to reach recommended levels of motivation and confidence. Other research highlights that community-based physical literacy programs should be inclusive (i.e., participant-centred), collaborative, welcoming, and responsive to the needs of the community served. In recent years, recreational physical literacy programs aimed at being inclusive to children with and without medical conditions or disabilities have been implemented and evaluated, with positive experiences being reported by those involved (e.g., children, staff).

### Physical Literacy and Vulnerable Children

For children living with any of a variety of medical conditions, physical literacy can reduce burden of disease. Studies examining physical literacy development of vulnerable children show that:

- Preadolescent children living with mental health disorders, particularly attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), show low levels of overall physical literacy.

- For preadolescent children living with intestinal failure, existing medical factors (e.g., external medical devices) negatively impact their motor competence and, subsequently, their self-efficacy for physical activity.

- Canadian children living with epilepsy have significantly lower CAPL scores and lower physical competence (i.e., agility, movement skills and endurance) than their age-matched peers.
Contributing Factors and Disparities

Research on approximately 10,000 children (8-12 years) highlights small gender-related disparities. Compared to girls, boys tend to have slightly higher scores for total physical literacy (63.1 vs. 62.2 out of 100), physical competence (19.9 vs. 19.3 out of 32), daily behaviour (18.6 vs. 18.5 out of 32), and motivation and confidence (12.7 vs. 12.2 out of 18). Conversely, girls tend to have higher knowledge and understanding scores than boys (12.2 vs. 11.8 out of 18). It is important to note that these differences are small and therefore are unlikely to impact health outcomes. One gender-related difference that can be interpreted as important is the difference in sit-and-reach flexibility scores, with girls scoring higher than boys (30.9 cm vs. 25.4 cm). Other research shows that children from small northern remote Indigenous communities have higher PLAYfun scores compared to previous studies conducted with children from southern populations.
The year’s grade is a D based on available cardiorespiratory fitness data. Although data are available for muscular strength and endurance, and for flexibility, a lack of evidence-based benchmarks prevent these data from informing the grade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Benchmark**
- Average percentile of cardiorespiratory fitness achieved based on age- and sex-specific international normative data.
- Percentage of children and youth who meet criterion-referenced standards for muscular strength.
- Percentage of children and youth who meet criterion-referenced standards for muscular endurance.
- Percentage of children and youth who meet criterion-referenced standards for flexibility.
Key Findings

▷ 9- to 12-year-olds in Canada are at the 28th percentile, on average, for cardiorespiratory fitness (shuttle run in 20-metre laps) based on age- and sex-specific international normative data (2014-17 CAPL, HALO).

Research Gaps

▷ More research is needed on how musculoskeletal strength and endurance impact important health behaviours (e.g., sleep).

▷ More research is needed to understand how levels of sedentary behaviour and physical activity throughout the school day influence musculoskeletal and cardiorespiratory fitness.

▷ Future researchers should aim to develop criterion-referenced standards.

▷ Future studies are needed to examine the validity of the modified Canadian Aerobic Fitness Test (mCAFT) step test in children and youth.

▷ More population-level research is needed to understand fitness (characteristic) and physical activity (behaviour) temporal changes among children and youth.

Recommendations

▷ Parents, teachers and healthcare practitioners should encourage children to participate in a range of activities that support the development of cardiorespiratory and musculoskeletal fitness.

▷ Researchers should continue to assess the physical fitness of Canadian children and youth.

▷ Investigate and share information on best practices for improving physical fitness in children and youth.

Literature Synthesis

Physical fitness is a collection of attributes that include cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and endurance, and flexibility. These attributes are indicative of one’s ability to perform sustained physical activity. Physical fitness is not only a predictor of children’s and youths’ sporting and athletic abilities, but also of their current and future overall health.

Greater cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with lower body mass index, lower body fat percentage and higher quality of life in children and youth. Greater cardiorespiratory fitness and muscular strength are associated with healthier body composition, and lower risk of metabolic syndrome and disability later in life. Though physical fitness has a strong genetic component, it can be improved through physical activity. For example, a recent 26-week family-based physical activity intervention showed that providing parents with tools to promote their children’s physical activity resulted in a significant increase in the children’s MVPA and cardiorespiratory fitness. Physical fitness is therefore often used as an objective measure of recent physical activity habits.
Cardiorespiratory Fitness

Compared to other attributes of physical fitness, cardiorespiratory fitness – the body’s ability to supply oxygen to the muscles during physical activity—217—is the most commonly researched attribute. According to recent CHMS data,204 physical fitness levels among children and youth have generally remained stable between 2007 and 2017, though lower cardiorespiratory fitness over this time period was identified among 8-to-14-year-old boys (Figure 9a), but not among 8-to-14-year-old girls (Figure 9b). Girls tend to have lower levels of fitness than boys.204,218 Based on data from approximately 8,800 Canadian children (8-13 years), cardiorespiratory fitness is inversely related to obesity (measured by body mass index and waist circumference).219 In addition, indicators of the 20-metre shuttle run adequately identified children with obesity. For example, girls and boys who ran slower than 9.0 km per hour and completed less than 15 laps were more likely to be obese, regardless of age, time spent engaged in screen-based behaviours, and physical activity levels.

Muscular Strength and Endurance

A systematic review of 87 research studies found that muscular strength is positively associated with physical activity, including objective physical activity, MVPA, vigorous physical activity intensity, and sport participation.203 There was limited support for an association between muscular strength and sedentary behaviour, and an insufficient number of available studies examining the relationship between muscular strength and sleep.203

Contributing Factors and Disparities

According to the 2016-17 CHMS data, girls have higher flexibility than boys across all age groups, whereas boys have greater grip strength than girls across all age groups.204 Cardiorespiratory fitness was higher among boys compared to girls at and above 11 years of age, and higher among boys and girls who met the physical activity recommendations (vs. those who did not).204

Figure 9a. Trends (means) in cardiorespiratory fitness from 2007 to 2017 (cycles 1, 2 and 5 of CHMS) for boys, by age group.204

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>(Year)</th>
<th>Units/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 1</td>
<td>2007-09</td>
<td>52 ml/kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 2</td>
<td>2009-11</td>
<td>51 ml/kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 5</td>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>50 ml/kg/min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8- to-10-year-old 11- to-14-year-old

Figure 9b. Trends (means) in cardiorespiratory fitness from 2007 to 2017 (cycles 1, 2 and 5 of CHMS) for girls, by age group.204

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>(Year)</th>
<th>Units/min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 1</td>
<td>2007-09</td>
<td>51 ml/kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 2</td>
<td>2009-11</td>
<td>49 ml/kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycle 5</td>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>48 ml/kg/min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8- to-10-year-old 11- to-14-year-old
Spaces & Places

These indicators speak to specific settings that impact children’s movement behaviours.
The benchmarks for this indicator* relate to family physical activity and peer influence. This year’s grade is a C, which represents a slight decline from the C+ assigned in 2018. New data show that approximately 23.2% and 44.4% of parents regularly support their children’s and youths’ light physical activity and MVPA, respectively, and 16-17% of adults aged 18 to 59 years meet the Canadian Physical Activity Guidelines for Adults\(^220\) of at least 150 minutes of MVPA per week. Similar to previous years, the grade is informed only by family physical activity data due to the lack of available data on peer influence.

*This indicator was called Family & Peers in previous iterations of the Report Card.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade</strong></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>D+</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Benchmark** | Percentage of parents who facilitate physical activity and sport opportunities for their children (e.g., volunteering, coaching, driving, paying for membership fees and equipment). | Percentage of parents who meet the Canadian Physical Activity Guidelines for Adults.\(^220\) | Percentage of parents who are physically active with their kids. | Percentage of children and youth with friends and peers who encourage and support them to be physically active. | Percentage of children and youth who encourage and support their friends to be physically active.
Key Findings

- 16% of 18- to 39-year-olds and 17% of 40- to 59-year-olds in Canada meet the Canadian Physical Activity Guidelines for Adults, which recommend at least 150 minutes of weekly MVPA. More non-parents are meeting the Canadian Physical Activity Guidelines for Adults when compared to parents (23% vs. 13%) (2016-17 CHMS).

- Among a representative sample of Canadian parents, 44.4% and 23.2% reported providing support for children’s and youths’ light physical activity and MVPA, respectively.

- 92% of students in grades 9 to 12 in British Columbia, Alberta, Nunavut, Ontario and Quebec report having parents/step-parents/guardians who support them in being physically active (2016-17 COMPASS, University of Waterloo).

- 73% of students in grades 9 to 12 in British Columbia, Alberta, Nunavut, Ontario and Quebec report having parents/step-parents/guardians who encourage them to be physically active (2016-17 COMPASS, University of Waterloo).

- 36% of parents in Canada with 5- to 17-year-olds report playing active games often or very often with them (based on a subsample of the 2014-15 Physical Activity Monitor [PAM], CFLRI).

- In a nationally representative sample of more than 1,300 biological parent-child pairs, every 20-minute increase in parental MVPA was associated with a 5- to 10-minute increase in the MVPA of their 6- to 11-year-old child, independent of parental support for physical activity (2007-13 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

- 37% of students in grades 6 to 10 in Canada report that of the friends with whom they spend most of their leisure time, most participate in organized sports with others (2018 HBSC, PHAC).

- Among students in grades 9 to 12 in Alberta and Ontario, for each additional physically active friend they had, adherence to the physical activity recommendation (at least 60 minutes of daily MVPA) within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Behaviour Guidelines for Children and Youth increased by 6%.

- Among students in grades 5 to 12 in Prince Edward Island (2014-15 School Health Planning and Evaluation System – Prince Edward Island [SHAPES-PEI]):
  - 92% report that their parents/guardians are supportive or very supportive (e.g., equipment purchases, transportation to team games) of their physical activity.
  - 82% report that their parents/guardians encourage or strongly encourage them to be physically active.
  - 42% and 48% report that their mother and father, respectively, are physically active.
  - 95% report that they have at least one close friend who is physically active.
Research Gaps

- Future research is needed to determine whether physical activity programs geared toward the entire family result in better benefits than programs focused on individuals.
- More research is needed to identify how peer and family influences develop and change throughout the course of childhood and adolescence.
- Future research should examine the link between peer influence and structured and unstructured physical activities.

Recommendations

- Programs that encourage families to be active together should be better supported (see Cover Story).
- National data are required on how the physical activity of children and youth is influenced by their peers.

Literature Synthesis

Family and peers play an important role in shaping children’s and youths’ health behaviours. The majority of research in this area has focused on the role of parents on children’s physical activity, while fewer studies have focused on siblings and peers.

Influence of Parents on Physical Activity

Recent research continues to show that parents may encourage (or discourage) physical activity behaviours of their children through a variety of mechanisms including:

- parental logistical support (e.g., enrolment in sports, transportation to activities)
- encouragement (e.g., praise about health behaviours, spectating)
- parental regulatory support (e.g., enforcing rules, setting limits)
- parental role modelling (e.g., parents’ own physical activity and sedentary behaviours)
- co-participation in physical activity (e.g., family exergaming)

Building upon previous literature, a new systematic review of reviews reports that parental encouragement and support can increase physical activity and reduce sedentary time in children. Canadian data from two separate studies show differences in the proportion of parents supporting each of the 24-hour movement behaviours. In one study, 86% of Canadian parents had intentions to support their children’s sleep, 62% to reduce their children’s screen-based behaviours, and 61% to 65% to support their children’s physical activity (light and moderate). However, there was a noticeable intention-behaviour gap; only 80% of intentions were translated into parental support behaviours for optimizing sleep, 68% for reducing screen time, and 31% to 56% for fostering physical activity. In terms of parent-child physical activity participation, some recent work has examined family exergaming – games in which players are physically active in response to on-screen virtual activity. Family exergaming could potentially help to increase physical activity in Canadian children by displacing sedentary activities, especially on the weekends and during inclement weather conditions.
Influence of Siblings on Physical Activity

Concerning other family members, some research highlights the unique role of siblings, who may exhibit elements of parental influence (e.g., provide supervision of younger children during parent/caregiver absence) and peer influence (e.g., serve as a similar-age companion for active transportation or sport participation). Findings of a new systematic review and meta-analysis suggest that 2- to 18-year-old children with siblings have healthier physical activity patterns compared to only-children. In particular, MVPA levels were higher in children with siblings (on average, by five minutes of MVPA per day) and there was a potential dose-response relationship, whereby accumulated minutes of MVPA increased with the number of siblings in the household. Findings were mixed in terms of sibling influence on sedentary behaviour and light-intensity physical activity. Suggested mechanisms for sibling influence include encouraging active transportation and sport participation, serving as peer models and providing additional supervision in physical activity.

The findings of this systematic review regarding the influence of siblings on children’s and youths’ physical activity behaviour are different than findings of national-level data in Canada (see Contributing Factors and Disparities below), which show that siblings have little influence. These mixed findings may be due to differences in various factors such as measurement technique (e.g., accelerometer vs. self- or parent-reported) and mode of data collection (e.g., in-person vs. computer vs. telephone interviewing).

Influence of Peers on Physical Activity

Among students in grades 5 to 8 in Alberta, positive associations exist between aspects of children’s peer social environment (e.g., physical activity levels of friends, number of school friends) and frequency of meeting the physical activity recommendation. Another Alberta-based study, this time involving only students in Grade 5, found that school friends exhibit more similarity in their pedometer-measured physical activity than non-school friends. The difference in physical activity between close female friends was 160-260 steps per day lower than the difference in step count between female non-friends.

Studies demonstrate that the most common mechanisms of peer influence – peer support and peer modelling – are applicable to different domains (e.g., sport clubs, outside of sport clubs, outdoor play). In a nationwide sample of approximately 3,500 primary and secondary school children and adolescents (aged 6-17) in Germany, peer support and peer modelling were positively associated with extracurricular physical activity participation. A study conducted with German children aged 4-6 years found peer modelling to be especially relevant for physical activity in sport clubs, while peer support was identified as a significant predictor of outdoor play in this age group.

Contributing Factors and Disparities

Findings from recent 2016-17 CHMS data analysis show that having a sibling is positively associated with physical activity for boys aged 12-17 years. Data also show that physical activity levels do not differ between 5- to 17-year-olds according to whether they are in a single- or two-parent household structure; however, there is an effect for 3- to 4-year-olds, in that those living in households with two parents are more active than those living in households with one parent. No significant differences exist in the proportion of children aged 3 to 11 years meeting the daily MVPA recommendation of ≥ 60 minutes according to number of siblings in the household, or single-vs. two-parent household.
The benchmarks for this indicator relate to PE and physical activity opportunities at school, school policy and programming, and school infrastructure. This year’s grade remains a B-. While available data on PE opportunities and school infrastructure are encouraging, a large proportion of schools in Canada have only partially implemented physical activity-related policies, which prevents the assignment of a higher grade this year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>PE &amp; Physical Activity Participation at School &amp; in Childcare Settings</td>
<td>C*/C*</td>
<td>C*/B*</td>
<td>C/B*</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>School Policy &amp; Programming</td>
<td>C/C**</td>
<td>C/B**</td>
<td>C/B**</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School Infrastructure &amp; Equipment</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Percentage of schools with active school policies (e.g., daily PE, DPA, recess, “everyone plays” approach, bike racks at school, traffic calming on school property, outdoor time).
- Percentage of schools where the majority (≥ 80%) of students are taught by a PE specialist.
- Percentage of schools where the majority (≥ 80%) of students are offered at least 150 minutes of PE per week.
- Percentage of schools that offer physical activity opportunities (excluding PE) to the majority (≥ 80%) of their students.
- Percentage of parents who report their children and youth have access to physical activity opportunities at school in addition to PE classes.
- Percentage of schools with students who have regular access to facilities and equipment that support physical activity (e.g., gymnasium, outdoor playgrounds, sporting fields, multipurpose space for physical activity, equipment in good condition).
- Percentage of schools reporting that competing priorities (e.g., for resources, equipment, facilities) and/or attitudes (e.g., teachers, parents, children) are not major barriers to PE delivery and physical activity promotion at school.

* From 2005 to 2012, there were two separate indicators: Physical Education and Sport & Physical Activity Opportunities at School. In 2013, these indicators were collapsed into a single indicator.

** From 2009 to 2012, there were two separate indicators: School Policy and Sport & Physical Activity Opportunities at School. In 2013, these indicators were collapsed into a single indicator.
Key Findings

48% of school administrators in Canada report having a fully implemented policy to provide daily PE to all students (2015 Opportunities for Physical Activity at School Study [OPASS], CFLRI).²³⁷

46% of school administrators in Canada report having a fully implemented policy to provide mandated DPA to all students (2015 OPASS, CFLRI).²³⁷

39% of school administrators in Canada indicate that they are quite or considerably concerned about liability, which may cause the school to limit the kinds of physical activity in which students can participate (2015 OPASS, CFLRI).²³⁷

74% of school administrators in Canada report that they use a PE specialist to teach PE in their high school, and 44% of schools indicate that they use a teacher who has at least one elective credit in PE (2015 OPASS, CFLRI).²³⁸

Of those who indicate that their school uses a PE specialist, 16% indicate that less than half of students receive PE directly from this individual, 19% of schools indicate that many or most of their students receive PE from this specialist, and 65% indicate that almost all students receive PE from this specialist.²³⁸

Of those schools in Canada that report they use a PE specialist, a typical student receives PE from this specialist less than once a week in 6% of the schools, once or twice a week in 45% of schools, three to four times a week in 27% of schools, and every day in 22% of schools.²³⁸

The following proportion of schools/school boards/ministries in Canada report that they have fully or partially implemented policies related to physical activity (2015 OPASS, CFLRI).²³⁷

- 77% provide age- and stage-appropriate developmental physical activity and sport programs.
- 73% provide a range of physical activities for students.
- 62% hire teachers with university qualifications to teach PE or physical activity.
- 59% ensure ongoing funding for adequate equipment for student needs.
- 28% ensure National Coaching Certification Program qualifications for coaches.
- 25% provide opportunities for active transportation for students to/from school.

80% of school administrators indicate that their schools or school boards have agreements with a municipality regarding the shared use of school or municipal facilities, whereas 46% indicate that they have agreements regarding shared programming and resources (2015 OPASS, CFLRI).²³⁹

81% of school administrators report that their schools or school boards have agreements with sport organizations or physical activity clubs about the use of school facilities, whereas 51% have agreements regarding shared programming and resources (e.g., instructors, officials, equipment) (2015 OPASS, CFLRI).²³⁹

School administrators in Canada report that a number of amenities are available on-site at school, including equipment for physical activity (97%), gymnasiums (94%), playing fields (88%), other green spaces or play areas (88%), paved areas used for active games (80%), outdoor basketball hoops (78%) and areas with playground equipment (71%) (2015 OPASS, CFLRI).²⁴⁰
Research Gaps

- There is a need to understand how different school schedules (e.g., different start time, different recess numbers and lengths, etc.) influence students’ movement behaviours during the school day and outside school hours.

- Research is needed to explore the characteristics of school-based physical activity policies to identify which policies support children’s movement and which require additional attention.

Recommendations

- Schools should give students a voice and involve them in the development of their physical activity curriculum, policies and outdoor play spaces.

- Indoor recesses caused by inclement weather should not be spent on screens. Consider other indoor options that will get students moving more (e.g., free play in gym, active games in classroom).

- Schools should move away from a “risk assessment” approach toward a “risk-benefit assessment” approach when assessing the safety of their physical activity play spaces and opportunities. A good starting point would be to use The Risk Benefit Assessment for Outdoor Play: A Canadian Toolkit, which is available at www.outdoorplaycanada.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/risk-benefit-assessment-for-outdoor-play-a-canadian-toolkit.pdf.
Literature Synthesis

During the months of September to June, children and youth spend approximately seven hours per weekday at school. Schools are uniquely positioned to positively influence levels of physical activity as they reach the vast majority of children and youth, regardless of gender, race, ethnicity or family circumstances. Thus, it is not surprising that many policies and research studies have targeted the school environment to improve healthy behaviours in children and youth. Integrating physical activity into the school day is associated with a number of benefits. For example, providing children with longer recess times (≥ 20 min per day) and more ways to be active (e.g., hula-hooping, trampolining) are each associated with lower rates of obesity. In addition, providing physical activity breaks during class time is associated with improved classroom behaviour (e.g., staying on task) and enhanced academic performance.

Canadian Childcare Centres: Policy Update

Children’s physical activity and, in some instances, allowed screen time within childcare centres is legislated at the provincial level in Canada. In the past five years, eight of the 13 provinces and territories have updated their physical activity legislation. To date, all provinces and territories have legislation that includes general recommendations regarding physical activity and time allocated for outdoor play (weather permitting). However, differences exist among provinces regarding this legislation. For example, only the Northwest Territories and Nunavut state specific requirements for the amount of time (30 minutes per day) allocated to physical activity, whereas Ontario, Prince Edward Island and the Yukon state that children should be provided with opportunities for active or vigorous play but do not outline a specific duration. With regard to outdoor play, most provinces and territories state that children should be provided with outdoor play time, but only Ontario and Nova Scotia provide specific requirements for the amount of time allocated to outdoor play (~ 2 hours per day). Outdoor play space requirements also vary between provinces, with Alberta, Quebec and New Brunswick specifying smaller outdoor space requirements (≥ 4 m² per child) than British Columbia, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Nova Scotia and Prince Edward Island (≥ 7 m² per child). New Brunswick was the only province that had regulations regarding screen viewing time, which state that television watching should not be part of daily programming for children. All other provinces and territories provide no guidance regarding screen viewing (e.g., television, computers, tablets).

Policy Implementation at the School Level

Research shows that teachers are more likely to implement physical activity policies into their classrooms if they confidently know how to implement those policies; even a single physical activity training session is enough for teachers to successfully integrate physical activity into classroom time. From the perspective of students, primary school students surveyed across northwestern Ontario said they are more likely to engage in physical activity if the activity is enjoyable and provides a sense of accomplishment/leads to skill-building. In contrast, students said they are less likely to engage in physical activity if they feel uncomfortable due to being less fit or less skilled than their peers.
School-Based Physical Activity Interventions

Interventions implemented in schools to promote physical activity have shown mixed results, with some showing an increase in physical activity and others showing no change. A major difference between successful and unsuccessful physical activity interventions appears to be teacher buy-in to the program. For example, an American study that explored the characteristics of school programs that successfully implemented student-oriented physical activity programs (outside of PE classes) found that having a champion (i.e., teachers who were strong advocates of physical activity programming) was central to the success of both program implementation and long-term sustainability. In addition, research shows that teaching students (grades 5 to 6) about healthy behaviours while providing them with a sense of ownership of their behaviours and actions leads them to maintain these positive behaviours, as well as positively impacts the health behaviours of their families. Encouraging students to be their own champions is also important for the adoption and maintenance of positive physical activity behaviours long-term.

Contributing Factors and Disparities

Data from CFLRI show several regional differences with respect to availability of facilities on school grounds. Schools in the largest communities are less likely than those in smaller communities to report the availability of skating rinks, and schools with the largest population sizes are least likely to report availability of areas with playground equipment compared to schools with smaller populations. CFLRI data also show that compared to the national average:

- Schools in the Atlantic region are less likely to report the availability of baseball diamonds on school grounds.
- Schools in the west are more likely to report the availability of baseball diamonds, playing fields, areas with playground equipment, and bicycle racks on school grounds.
- Schools in Quebec are more likely to report the availability of dance studios and skating rinks, yet fewer schools in Quebec report the availability of playing fields and other green spaces or play areas with equipment for physical activity, and baseball diamonds.
- Schools in Ontario are more likely to report the availability of playing fields, but fewer report skating rinks and areas with playground equipment on school grounds.
The benchmarks for this indicator relate to community policy and programming, availability of infrastructure (e.g., parks, playgrounds), and neighbourhood safety and the natural environment. This year’s grade remains a B+ and has not changed from the previous Report Card. Available data show that many municipalities in Canada have important infrastructure needs (e.g., maintenance, repair, improvements) that prevent the indicator from being assigned a higher grade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Community Policy &amp; Programming</td>
<td>D/B+*</td>
<td>D/B+*</td>
<td>D/B+*</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural Environment</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School Infrastructure &amp; Equipment</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Inc**</td>
<td>Inc**</td>
<td>Inc**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Benchmark

- Percentage of children or parents who perceive their community/municipality is doing a good job at promoting physical activity (e.g., variety, location, cost, quality).
- Percentage of communities/municipalities that report they have policies promoting physical activity.
- Percentage of communities/municipalities that report they have infrastructure (e.g., sidewalks, trails, paths, bike lanes) specifically geared toward promoting physical activity.
- Percentage of children or parents who report having facilities, programs, parks and playgrounds available to them in their community.
- Percentage of children or parents who report living in a safe neighbourhood where they can be physically active.
- Percentage of children or parents who report having well-maintained facilities, parks and playgrounds in their community that are safe to use.

* In the years prior to 2013, there were two separate indicators: Municipal Policies & Regulations and Community Programming. In 2013, these indicators were collapsed into a single indicator: Community Policy & Programming.
** This indicator has been in the Report Card since 2011 and was called Nature & the Outdoors until this year.
Key Findings

Among municipalities in Canada with at least 1,000 residents, as many as one-third have policies that relate to physical activity (2015 Survey of Physical Activity Opportunities in Canadian Communities [SPAOCC], CFLRI):

* Between one-quarter and one-third have a policy requiring safe pedestrian and bicycle routes when:
  - developing new areas in their community (38%)\(^{258}\)
  - reconstructing roads in their community (34%)\(^{258}\)
  - retrofitting existing communities (25%)\(^{258}\)
* 35% have formal strategies for physical activity and sport opportunities for residents in the community.\(^{259}\)
* 24% have a formal transportation master plan.\(^{260}\)
* 22% have a formal plan regarding active transportation.\(^{260}\)

Among municipalities in Canada with at least 1,000 residents, the majority report the presence of facilities that support community physical activity and sport (2015 SPAOCC, CFLRI):

* 93% have parks and green spaces.\(^{261}\)
* 90% have baseball or softball diamonds.\(^{261}\)
* 88% have ice rinks.\(^{261}\)
* 84% have soccer or football fields.\(^{261}\)
* 82% have a walkable or pedestrian-friendly downtown core.\(^{262}\)
* 81% have community centres, halls or shared facilities.\(^{261}\)
* 79% have tennis or racquetball courts.\(^{261}\)
* 78% have multi-use trails which are closed to vehicles.\(^{262}\)
* 75% have school safety zones with reduced speed limits.\(^{262}\)
* 73% have basketball courts.\(^{261}\)
* 73% have playing and climbing structures.\(^{261}\)
* 67% have arenas.\(^{261}\)
* 65% have gyms.\(^{261}\)
* 62% have crossing guards at intersections.\(^{262}\)

86% of municipalities in Canada with at least 1,000 residents collaborate with schools or school boards when developing physical and sport opportunities (2015 SPAOCC, CFLRI).\(^{263}\)
Among municipalities in Canada with at least 1,000 residents, approximately half report having important infrastructure needs (2015 SPAOCC, CFLRI):

- 59% report that improvements in the networking of trails, paths and sidewalks represent an important infrastructure need in the community.  

Close to half of administrators report that repair, maintenance and improvements to existing facilities are important, including:

- the repair of outdoor sport and recreational facilities (46%)
- improved linkages of bicycle pathways and lanes with roadways (46%)
- repair of indoor sport and recreational facilities (43%)
- maintenance of walking, bicycling and multi-purpose trails (43%)
- maintenance of playgrounds and green spaces (42%)

 Among municipalities in Canada with at least 1,000 residents, 65% of municipal administrators report that more walking, bicycling or multi-purpose trails are the most pressing infrastructure need for increasing physical activity. Other pressing needs within many communities include more indoor sport and recreation facilities (54%), more outdoor sport and recreation facilities (49%) and more playgrounds and green spaces (30%) (2015 SPAOCC, CFLRI).

Less than 20% of parents report that crime, traffic or poorly maintained sidewalks are an issue in their neighbourhood (based on a subsample of the 2014-15 PAM, CFLRI).

71% of children and youth in grades 6 to 10 in Canada agree or strongly agree that there are good places in their neighbourhood to spend their free time (e.g., leisure centres, parks) (2018 HBSC, PHAC).

81% of children and youth in grades 6 to 10 in Canada agree or strongly agree that it is safe for younger children to play outside during the day (2018 HBSC, PHAC).

Among municipalities in Canada with at least 1,000 residents, 26% strongly agree that low levels of lighting on sidewalks and streets discourages walking or bicycling at night.

Among municipalities in Canada with at least 1,000 residents, 14% strongly agree that the amount of crime on streets discourages walking or bicycling.

The homicide rate in Canada in 2016 for all ages (1.68 per 100,000) is essentially unchanged from 2015 (1.70 per 100,000) (2015-16 Uniform Crime Reporting Survey [UCRS], Statistics Canada).
Although police-reported violent crime has declined overall, sexual violations against children increased by 30% in 2016 (14.66 per 100,000 in 2015 vs. 19.06 per 100,000 in 2016), with luring a child via a computer being the second largest group of violations (21% or 1,295 incidents) (2015-16 UCRS, Statistics Canada).\textsuperscript{266}

* The rate of luring a child via a computer in 2016 (3.57 per 100,000) is 19% higher than in 2015 (3.00 per 100,000) (2015-16 UCRS, Statistics Canada).\textsuperscript{265}

* **Note:** It is important to note that, for the violations included in “sexual violations against children,” differences in police-reported statistics between geographic areas or across time may be influenced by levels of reporting to police, as well as by single incidents that include several victims. In addition, certain police services dedicate special units to investigate these types of crime, which can also impact differences by geographic areas or changes over time. Similar to sexual assaults in general, the number of sexual violations against children is also expected to be an underestimate due to compounding factors that are likely to impact reporting, such as reliance on an adult to bring the incident to the attention of police. In addition, sexual offences against children can be delayed in coming to the attention of the police and those reported may have occurred in previous years.\textsuperscript{266}

The child abduction rate (by non-parents/non-guardians) in Canada in 2016 for children and youth under 14 years of age (0.32 per 100,000) is 14% lower than in 2015 (0.37 per 100,000) (2015-16 UCRS, Statistics Canada).\textsuperscript{265}

### Research Gaps

* Municipal policies may have a significant impact on the development of environments that provide sustainable opportunities for individuals to engage in healthy, active lifestyles. Little is known about how community planning in Canada integrates strategies to promote physical activity. Official community plans of cities could be systematically examined to identify policies supportive of physical activity and/or gaps in policy provision that can be rectified.\textsuperscript{267}

* In general, most Canadians report the presence of facilities that support community physical activity and sport. Research is needed that examines how to promote greater uptake of those community-based programs and facilities.

* Health economic analyses and policy evaluations that incorporate case studies and natural experiments are needed in order to translate research on the built environment into the development of effective policy and planning initiatives that promote healthy active living.

### Recommendations

* Communities should dedicate part of their capital plan to recreation facility revitalization.

* All parents and children should have access to inclusive out-of-school-time physical activity programs.\textsuperscript{268}

* Municipal policies or bylaws that restrict physical activity or outdoor play for children and youth should be revisited for their appropriateness and severity in curtailing use of outdoor community spaces for physical activity.
Literature Synthesis

A child’s community and environment, and the cultural norms surrounding these, can positively influence their physical activity. Identifying factors such as community, environment and cultural norms are therefore important to understand how physical activity of children and youth can be improved in Canada.

Diversity and Physical Activity

Understanding whether ethnically diverse communities differ in physical activity can help inform future health promotion strategies. A recent study of physical activity habits of children across Canada showed that girls speaking languages other than English or French at home were less active than their Anglophone and Francophone peers. Similar observations have been made in other countries; non-Dutch children tend to be more sedentary than their Dutch counterparts. In the United States, a recent assessment of programs and policies designed to promote physical activity in children showed that these initiatives were associated with improvements in physical activity among non-Hispanic children, but were not effective among Hispanic children. Together, these data suggest that cultural and community-level factors need to be considered when designing physical activity-based community initiatives.
**Indigenous Populations**

Health promotion strategies targeting early childhood are one suggested method for mitigating the negative health effects of intergenerational trauma exposure among Indigenous people in Canada. A recent study that involved in-depth interviews with parents identified the importance of integrating knowledge about Indigenous ways of life, including traditional foods and physical activities (e.g., hunting, dancing, traditional games connected to the land and the outdoors), into such health promotion strategies. Similarly, caregivers interviewed across six First Nation communities in northeastern Ontario reported that physical activity patterns among children were different from previous generations, in that colonialist activities such as technology-oriented sedentary time was replacing outdoor play and activities. In fact, physical activity programming that takes place in the natural environment can support youth in feeling connected to their culture and identities. Ultimately, understanding how physical activity fits in an Indigenous health promotion context is important in promoting and maintaining physical activity among Indigenous children and youth.
Green Space in the Built Environment and Physical Activity in Children and Youth

Access to nature and green space is strongly associated with positive physical health and greater physical activity. Among a sample of high school students in Quebec, the number of parks or green spaces within a 750-metre radius of school was positively related to physical activity during leisure time in both girls and boys. Similarly, green space was found to be related to afterschool leisure-time physical activity among 10- to 12-year-old Dutch school children. In 2019, a systematic review highlighted evidence of positive relationships between certain attributes of the neighbourhood built environment, including access to a yard and increased green space, and time spent by children and youth in outdoor play.

Community- and Environment-Based Initiatives to Promote Physical Activity Among Children and Youth

A number of studies have focused on creative ways to promote physical activity within neighbourhoods and communities. Play Streets, or streets that are temporarily closed to traffic to create safe outdoor play areas, are showing promise for increasing physical activity levels and instilling a sense of community among children.

The Act-i-pass program is one example of a community-based initiative. Since September 2014, this initiative has offered complimentary passes to various recreational centres (e.g., the YMCA) and programs (e.g., dance and organized sport programs) to Grade 5 students in London, Ontario. Today, this initiative is still ongoing. Evaluation of the program’s impact found that children who received the access pass were much more physically active toward the end of the school year than they were at the beginning of the year. This increase in physical activity levels was seen even in groups of students who were typically less interested in engaging in physical activity, including girls, visible minorities and newcomers to Canada. Part of its success may be due to the fact that the program incentivizes students to access opportunities for physical activity in a geographic area beyond the confines of their neighbourhood, as it has been suggested that children may prefer to access physical activity resources outside of their home neighbourhood.

Contributing Factors and Disparities

Children’s beliefs about barriers to physical activity are related to their physical activity levels. A 2018 study of 8- to 14-year-olds in Ontario explored community and environmental factors related to children’s perceptions of barriers to physical activity in their neighbourhoods. Findings showed that girls, children belonging to visible minority groups and children in low-income families were more likely than their counterparts to report barriers to physical activity. Additional findings revealed that children tended to identify different barriers to physical activity based on the type of environment in which they lived. For example, children living in large suburban cities were more likely to say they felt crime was a barrier to being active in their neighbourhood compared to children living in small rural towns. Indeed, community and environmental contexts may considerably shape child perceptions of barriers to physical activity. Therefore, to reduce health behaviour inequality, it is important that physical activity promotion efforts are designed with the unique needs of different groups of children in mind.
These indicators speak to various sources of funding, infrastructure and policies that impact children’s movement behaviours.
This year’s grade is a B- and represents a slight improvement from the C+ assigned in 2018. The grade change reflects several observable, direct efforts to increase children’s and youths’ physical activity in Canada.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade</strong></td>
<td>Federal Government Strategies &amp; Investments</td>
<td>C+/F*</td>
<td>C/F*</td>
<td>D/F*</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>C+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>Provincial/Territorial Government Strategies &amp; Investments</td>
<td>B+/C.-**</td>
<td>B+/C.-**</td>
<td>B+/C.-**</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>C+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Benchmark**
- Evidence of leadership and commitment in providing physical activity opportunities for all children and youth.
- Allocated funds and resources for the implementation of physical activity promotion strategies and initiatives for all children and youth.
- Demonstrated progress through the key stages of public policy making (i.e., policy agenda, policy formation, policy implementation, policy evaluation and decisions about the future).

* From 2010 to 2012, there were two separate indicators: Strategies and Investments. In 2013, these indicators were collapsed into a single indicator.
** From 2010 to 2012, there were two separate indicators: Strategies and Investments. In 2013, these indicators were collapsed into a single indicator.
Key Findings

- The 2018 federal budget highlighted the government’s commitment to improving the country’s physical activity levels by pledging to invest $5 million per year for five consecutive years (totalling $25 million) in ParticipACTION.285

- Approximately $2 million per year has been committed by Sport Canada to support ParticipACTION’s efforts to increase sport participation (up until March 2021).285

- The 2018 federal budget announced $30 million over three years to support data, research and innovative practices to promote women’s and girls’ participation in sport, and $47.5 million over five years as well as $9.5 million per year ongoing to expand the use of sport for social development in more than 300 Indigenous communities.285

- In May 2018, federal, provincial and territorial ministers responsible for sport, physical activity and recreation released A Common Vision for Increasing Physical Activity and Reducing Sedentary Living in Canada: Let’s Get Moving! – a pan-Canadian physical activity policy framework to guide and stimulate coordinated and collaborative policies and actions to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviour among all Canadians across the life course.1 In 2020, PHAC pledged $1.2 million to support the implementation of the Common Vision in partnership with provinces, territories and the non-governmental sector. An additional $120,000 was provided by the provinces and territories to assist with implementation efforts.

- Budget 2017 committed $21.9 billion to support social infrastructure – including sport and recreational infrastructure – in Canadian communities.286 This budget proposed to invest $18.9 million over five years – and ongoing funding of $5.5 million on a four-year cycle thereafter – to support Indigenous youth and sport initiatives.

- To complete, enhance and maintain the Trans Canada Trail, in partnership with the provinces and individual Canadians, Budget 2017 proposed to invest $30 million over five years, starting in 2017-18, to be delivered through the Parks Canada Agency.287

- More than $3 million of this funding is earmarked for active transportation, including walking school buses and biking-to-school programs that create more physical activity opportunities for students.288,289

- According to representatives from federal, provincial and territorial governments, 92% note having policies and programs that support increasing physical activity and reducing sedentary behaviour among Canadians, including policies and programs for children and youth (2019 ParticipACTION).Custom analysis

- Almost 70% of federal, provincial and territorial governments report that funds invested in physical activity programming for children and youth has remained the same over the past fiscal years, while close to 10% of provinces/territories noted a decrease and 25% an increase (2019 ParticipACTION).Custom analysis

- Over 90% of federal, provincial and territorial governments reported modifying or adapting their respective physical activity policies and programs to better align with the Common Vision (2019 ParticipACTION).Custom analysis
Research Gaps

Research is needed to gain a better understanding of what is required in financial, human and program resources to reverse negative trends in physical activity and sedentary behaviour in Canadian children and youth.

There is an ongoing need to implement common tools and metrics for measuring all movement behaviours (physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep) at the national level, as well as within each province and territory. Measurement efforts should include assessment of the impact of the social determinants of health on physical activity for children and youth, such as socio-economic status, education, neighbourhood and physical environment, social support networks and access to health promotion services, all of which are important for improving health and reducing health disparities.

Natural experiments such as the introduction of new physical activity, sport or recreation policies and programs, including those outside of leisure-time recreation and sport, should be evaluated, with the outcomes and results documented and impact shared.

More insight is required on the extent to which governments are subsidizing the cost of children and youth participation in organized sport and recreation programs.

Recommendations

Enhance collaboration and alignment across federal, provincial, territorial and local governments; academia; health charities; the non-governmental sector, including child- and youth-serving organizations; the private sector, including social purpose organizations that support innovation and experimentation; and with international players, to develop, support and sustain physical activity policy and program development, research and surveillance, and evaluation.

Give voice to children and youth by engaging them directly in national, regional and local efforts to conceive, design, develop, implement and evaluate physical activity policies, programs and services, including unstructured physical activity and utilitarian physical activity whose primary purpose is to accomplish work, chores, errands or travel in accordance with one’s cultural values and practices.

Provide leadership development, training and community capacity building for those living in rural or remote communities, including Indigenous Canadians, as well as for new Canadians and marginalized populations.

Work with other domestic and international organizations to add to current understanding of the investment required to increase population physical activity in Canada.
Enhance capacity and consistency in childcare settings and schools to provide opportunities to develop physical literacy, such as through curriculum-based physical activity delivered by qualified instructors.

Invest in training around understanding the importance of the natural and outdoor environment as it relates to play education.

Governments at all levels should intentionally address people who are at the greatest risk for inactivity, by supporting policies that eliminate disparities that impact physical activity levels.

Three distinct but integrated national policy frameworks exist to advance physical activity opportunities for Canadians across sport (Canadian Sport Policy), recreation (A Framework for Recreation in Canada: Pathways to Well-Being) and physical activity (A Common Vision for Increasing Physical Activity and Reducing Sedentary Living in Canada: Let’s Get Moving!). The Common Vision identifies opportunities for alignment and convergence of policy and program opportunities to increase population physical activity across all three frameworks, including among children and youth.

In implementing these policy frameworks, governments should provide tools and other forms of support to practitioners on how to use these frameworks interdependently to align sport, recreation and physical activity programs as well as other opportunities for children and youth, where appropriate.

Key National and Provincial/Territorial Physical Activity Policies and Programs

National

The Public Health Agency of Canada invests approximately $20 million annually in projects through its Multisectoral Partnerships to Promote Healthy Living and Prevent Chronic Disease Initiative, which tests or scales innovative ideas to encourage behaviour changes that will positively impact the health of Canadians. Current funding is provided to nine projects targeting children and youth, and seven projects that target children and youth together with other population groups. Project examples include:

- The Alliance Wellness and Rehabilitation – Healthy Kids Initiative supports youth who are overweight through a 12-week program that provides daily physical activity and access to weekly healthy eating and mental well-being sessions. Parents of the youths are included and encouraged to attend the weekly sessions. Some parents, or family members, also serve as the daily ‘workout buddy’ for the youths, a mandatory requirement for this initiative.

- The Nose Creek Sports & Recreation Association (Vivo) initiative offers facilitated, unstructured outdoor play for children and families in north-central Calgary, Alberta. Through volunteers and professionals trained to be successful Play Ambassadors, the project aims to increase access to enhanced outdoor play environments and to encourage children and their families to participate in a range of physical activities.
The Government of Canada encourages sport participation and physical activity through strategic investments in Canada’s sport system. These investments include funding to help children and youth and under-represented groups (e.g., women and girls, persons with a disability, Indigenous peoples) participate in sport and physical activity through a number of activities managed by Sport Canada. Concussions in sport and sport development pathways are also key areas of focus.

From 2019-2022, Sport Canada has released the Innovation Initiative of the Sport Support Program, which enables the testing of innovative quality sport approaches and the trial of new programs, strategies and technologies in order to develop evidence-based solutions that can be shared nationwide.

In response to the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada, the Sport for Social Development in Indigenous Communities (SSDIC) funds eligible organizations to deliver sport for social development projects in Indigenous communities in Canada.

Sport Canada provides funding to Canadian Tire Jumpstart and KidSport. Both programs seek to provide sport equipment or cover registration fees for children and youth in families that would normally be excluded due to financial barriers.

**Provincial and Territorial**

The Newfoundland and Labrador Participation Nation Play 4 Fun Program is an adult/guardian and child initiative that promotes physical activity and nutrition among students from kindergarten to Grade 3 in the early evening once a week. Play 4 Fun includes activities involving fundamental movement skills and modified games that are run by a facilitator where a child and their guardian participate together.

**go!PEI** is a province-wide physical activity initiative designed to remove barriers to participating in physical activity by offering programs and opportunities for physical activity at the local level at low/no cost to participants. Within this program, there is an afterschool play-based component and community cemetery events to expose Islanders to physical activity opportunities.

The New Brunswick Wellness Strategy (2016-2021) includes a goal to increase physical activity among all New Brunswickers, including children and youth. Consistent with Canadian physical activity guidelines, it recommends that children and youth accumulate 60 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity daily, as well as limit screen time and sedentary behaviour. The New Brunswick School Wellness Grant provides financial resources to schools to support the implementation of Comprehensive School Health, including increasing physical activity opportunities for students.

Let’s Get Moving Nova Scotia is an action plan to create a more active, inclusive and healthier population. The plan calls for education, improved access to funding, and partnerships with the private and public sectors to increase physical activity across the province. It builds on existing physical activity networks, programs and resources, and addresses gaps to make it easier for all Nova Scotians to move more and sit less. It builds on the Canada’s pan-Canadian physical activity policy framework.

The Quebec Policy on Physical Activity, Sport and Recreation, Quebeckers on the Move!, aims to get all Quebeckers, including children and youth, to participate more regularly in physical, sport and recreational activities as a valued part of everyday life. Quebeckers on the Move! applies to both structured and unstructured participation in all kinds of activities: play, sport
(discovery, introduction to basics, recreational participation, competition, high performance), fitness, recreation, outdoor activities, dance and active transportation.

Ontario’s After School Program provides funding to help not-for-profit organizations, municipalities and Indigenous groups deliver quality programs for children and youth in priority neighbourhoods across the province. The program aims to help children and youth get active, develop healthy eating habits, gain confidence and do better in school.

The Manitoba Healthy Together Now Program is a community-led, regionally coordinated and government-supported grassroots program to help prevent chronic disease in Manitoba. It aims to increase physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviours among children and youth in a family context. Projects are planned and led by individual communities while the Manitoba government and regional health authorities provide funding, support and training. The program operates in five regional health authorities, and targets Manitobans who are most at risk for chronic disease in rural, urban, First Nations and Métis communities.

Active Saskatchewan is a network of individuals and organizations focused on working together to create a province where physical activity is the cultural norm. It aims to lead, mobilize and build partnerships and networks to take action that inspires and supports people to move more and sit less. Its strategic plan (2019-22) is uniquely positioned to lead a Saskatchewan implementation strategy that aligns with and builds on Canada’s pan-Canadian physical activity policy framework.

Alberta Education supports the Alberta Daily Physical Activity (DPA) Initiative. Alberta DPA aims to increase students’ physical activity levels. It is based on the belief that healthy students are better able to learn and that school communities provide supportive environments for students to develop positive habits needed for a healthy, active lifestyle. The DPA Policy calls on school authorities to ensure that all students in grades 1 to 9 are physically active for a minimum of 30 minutes daily through activities that are organized by the school.
British Columbia’s *Generation Health* is an early intervention program for families of children who are overweight and focuses on supporting family changes to health behaviours such as healthy eating, physical activity, screen time and sleep. The program is focused on practical, fun activities that build both the parent/caregiver’s and the child’s skills to make lasting changes.\(^{301}\)

The Nunavut Department of Community and Government Services, Sport and Recreation Division provides annual community grants that support the planning and delivery of sport, physical activity and recreation initiatives in schools and by not-for-profit organizations.\(^{302}\)

The Northwest Territories *After School Physical Activity Program* (ASPAP) provides funding to schools and community-based organizations to build on existing programs or create new physical activities for all school-aged children and youth during the afterschool time period, with a strong focus on engaging currently inactive or underactive youth. Funding projects and programs from kindergarten to Grade 12, ASPAP allows all children to participate, regardless of age, experience or physical activity level.\(^{303}\)

The Yukon Active *Playground Experience* program instills values and behaviours for an active healthy lifestyle through peer leadership. This program is run in partnership with classroom teachers and targets grades 6 to 8.\(^{304}\)

* With the exception of Alberta and New Brunswick, descriptions of these example initiatives were provided by provincial and territorial representatives (ParticipACTION, 2019). Custom analysis Members of the RCRC selected example initiatives for the provinces of Alberta and New Brunswick.
In 2013, the World Health Assembly endorsed a Global Action Plan on the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases and agreed on a set of nine global voluntary targets, which include a 25% reduction in premature mortality from non-communicable diseases and a 10% relative reduction in the prevalence of insufficient physical activity by 2025. In May 2018, member states endorsed the Global Action Plan on Physical Activity, 2018-2030. This new global action plan to promote physical activity responds to the requests by countries for updated guidance and a framework of effective and feasible policy actions to increase physical activity at all levels. The plan sets out four objectives and recommends 20 policy actions that are universally applicable to all countries and address the multiple cultural, environmental and individual determinants of physical inactivity. ParticipACTION contributed feedback to initial drafts of this plan as part of the global review process, demonstrating Canada’s commitment to and role in promoting physical activity and addressing sedentary behaviours in populations everywhere.

Numerous health benefits of physical activity are seen in young children (0- to 4-year-olds). A recent systematic review of nearly 100 research studies from 36 countries found that physical activity – MVPA and total daily physical activity – is associated with improved motor development (e.g., running, jumping, hopping), cognitive development (e.g., language development, executive functioning, attention), psychosocial health (e.g., self-esteem, pro-social behaviour, aggression) and cardiometabolic health (e.g., blood pressure, insulin resistance). Additionally, in observational studies, physical activity has been found to be positively associated with favourable motor development, physical fitness (e.g., cardiorespiratory fitness) and bone/skeletal health (e.g., bone mineral density). A link also exists between physical activity and more positive body composition indicators (e.g., overweight, obesity, body mass index); however, the relationship is not as consistent in the early years as it is in older age groups.
### Physical Activity

- 62% of 3- to 4-year-olds in Canada meet the physical activity recommendation of the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years, which encourages 180 minutes of daily activity, of which 60 minutes should be spent in MVPA (2009-11, 2012-13 and 2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

- In a regional sample of toddlers in Edmonton (n = 151), 99% met the physical activity recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years (2014-15 Parents' Role in Establishing Healthy Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour Habits [PREPS]).

- A recent systematic review and meta-analysis from 16 countries (n = 24), representing over 3,000 children, reported that toddlers engaged in approximately 247 minutes per day of total physical activity and 60 minutes per day of MVPA.

- According to national estimates, 3- to 5-year-olds accumulate 195 minutes of daily, light-intensity physical activity on average and 72 minutes of daily MVPA on average. Several studies in Canada and abroad report generally comparable estimates of light-intensity physical activity (> 3 hours per day on average) and MVPA (> 1 hour per day on average) in preschoolers, and also provide informative physical activity profiles (e.g., time of day, weekday vs. weekend day, bout/session frequency and duration).

- **A shift in analysis.** A noticeable difference in the proportion of Canadian preschoolers now meeting the physical activity recommendation within the new Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years (62%) appears to be a decline from previous iterations of this Report Card, where the reported proportion ranged from 70% to 84%. The current proportion (62%) is based on the new Guidelines (and additional data); the deviation from previous analyses is explained, in part, by a change in the physical activity recommendation for preschoolers itself as well as the approach to analysis (as with children and youth, the operational definition for guideline adherence assessment in preschoolers now focuses on minutes of daily physical activity on average (over seven days) without regard for how many days in the past seven days this threshold is met).

### Organized Sport

- 46% of 3- to 4-year-olds spend time in physical activity through participation in organized lessons, or league or team sports, according to their parents (2012-13 and 2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

- Preschoolers accumulate approximately 7 minutes per day in physical activity through participation in these activities.

- 13% of preschoolers accumulate at least 2 hours per week in physical activity through participation in these activities.

- 33% of preschoolers accumulate less than 2 hours per week in physical activity through participation in these activities.

### Sedentary Behaviours

- 24% of 3- to 4-year-olds in Canada meet the screen time recommendation (< 1 hour screens/day) within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years (2009-11, 2012-13 and 2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada).

- 3- to 4-year-olds in Canada spend 1.9 hours per day in screen time, according to their parents (2012-13, 2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada).
In a regional sample of toddlers from Edmonton, Alberta, 15% met the screen time recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years (2014-15 PREPS).

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis (n = 24) from 16 countries, representing over 3,000 children, reported that toddlers engaged in approximately 337 min/day of sedentary behaviours.308

A recent systematic review of research in the early years (0- to 4-year-olds) found that screen time is sometimes negatively associated with body composition, motor development, cognitive development and indicators of psychosocial health (e.g., self-regulation, pro-social behaviour, aggression), while non-screen-based sedentary time (e.g., reading, storytelling) is sometimes positively associated with cognitive development.315

Sleep

84% of 3- to 4-year-olds in Canada meet the sleep recommendation of 10-13 hours of sleep per night on average (2009-11, 2012-13, 2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada).306

Preschoolers are asleep for 10.6 hours per night, according to their parents (2012-13, 2014-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada). Custom analysis

The systematic reviews that helped inform the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years reveal that short sleep duration is associated with excess body weight, poorer emotional regulation, impaired growth, poorer academic achievement, more screen time, higher risk of injuries and lower quality of life/well-being.316

24-Hour Movement Behaviours

Released in November 2017, the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years combine recommendations for physical activity, sedentary behaviour and sleep, highlighting the interrelationship between all three behaviours. These guidelines were developed by the Canadian Society for Exercise Physiology; HALO-CHEO; the Faculty of Kinesiology, Sport and Recreation at the University of Alberta; PHAC; ParticipACTION; and a group of leading researchers from Canada and around the world, with input from more than 600 national and international stakeholders.

13% of 3- to 4-year-olds in Canada meet all three components of the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years (2009-15 CHMS, Statistics Canada).316

A high proportion of 3- to 4-year-olds in Canada meet the physical activity (62%) and sleep (84%) recommendations, but only a quarter (24%) meet the screen time recommendation.316

More research on napping as it relates to sleep and health outcomes is needed for young children. Napping is generally not included in national surveys but is part of the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years.

Research is needed to better inform the sleep consistency piece of the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for the Early Years. The specific surveillance recommendation is that bedtime and wake-up times should not vary by more than ± 30 minutes each, including on weekends. However, this is not supported by robust evidence and work needs to be done to better support this specific surveillance recommendation.
The Influence of the Childcare Environment

- As with the school setting, the childcare setting offers potential for physical activity promotion in the early years, which is an important avenue for increased efforts, given that many young children spend substantial portions of their day in these venues.\(^{317}\)

- Current evidence suggests that in Canadian centre-based childcare settings, children are more physically active outdoors (approximately 40% of the time) compared to indoors (approximately 20% of the time).\(^{318}\) However, almost 60% of time spent outdoors is sedentary.\(^{318}\)

- The first systematic review of research on physical activity levels among preschoolers in home-based childcare settings found that physical activity appears to be well below the recommended 180 minutes per day, but also varies substantially by study; therefore, further research is warranted.\(^{319}\)

- A recent systematic review (n = 55) that focused on centre-based childcare highlighted wide ranges of physical activity participation among preschoolers, but consistently noted high sedentary time in this group.\(^{320}\)

- No significant differences in habitual daily or hourly rates of physical activity or sedentary time were noted among a nationally representative sample of preschoolers from four childcare environments (centre-based, home-based, stayed at home with parent, school).\(^{321}\)

- When three different early learning environments were compared in Ontario (centre-based childcare, home-based childcare and full-day kindergarten), children in full-day kindergarten accumulated more MVPA than children in the other two environments and also accumulated more daily physical activity at any intensity compared to those in a centre-based childcare environment.\(^{317}\)

- Interventions targeting physical activity behaviours in childcare centres are showing evidence of success for improving activity levels.
  - The Supporting Physical Activity in the Childcare Environment (SPACE)\(^{322}\) study – a three-component intervention trial targeting modified outdoor playtime schedule (shorter, more frequent bouts), the addition of portable play equipment, and staff training for early childhood educators – was found to increase preschoolers’ total physical activity and MVPA in London, Ontario.

Parental Influence

- A recent study that examined parent and child physical activity and sedentary behaviour in early childhood found that higher parental screen time, sedentary time, light physical activity and MVPA was significantly associated with higher child screen time, sedentary time, light physical activity and MVPA, respectively, in a large representative sample of Canadian 3- to 5-year-olds.\(^{323}\)
  - The strength of relationships did not differ between weekdays and weekend days, sons and daughters, or mothers and fathers.
**Abbreviations**

**ABCD**  
Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development

**ADHD**  
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder

**AHKGA**  
The Active Healthy Kids Global Alliance

**ASPAP**  
After School Physical Education Program

**Can-ALE**  
Canadian Active Living Environment Database

**CANPLAY**  
Canadian Physical Activity Levels Among Youth study

**CAPL**  
Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy

**CAPL-2**  
Canadian Assessment of Physical Literacy, 2nd edition

**CFLRI**  
Canadian Fitness and Lifestyle Research Institute

**CHEO**  
Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

**CHMS**  
Canadian Health Measures Survey

**COMPASS**  
Cohort Study for Obesity, Marijuana Use, Physical Activity, Alcohol Use, Smoking and Sedentary Behaviour

**CS4L**  
Canadian Sport 4 Life

**DPA**  
Daily Physical Activity

**GIS**  
Global Information System

**GPS**  
Global Positioning System

**HALO**  
Healthy Active Living and Obesity Research Group

**HBSC**  
Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children study

**MVPA**  
Moderate- to vigorous-intensity physical activity

**OPASS**  
Opportunities for Physical Activity at School Study

**PAM**  
Physical Activity Monitor

**PE**  
Physical Education

**PHAC**  
Public Health Agency of Canada

**PHE**  
Physical Health and Education

**PLAY**  
Physical Literacy Assessment for Youth

**PREPS**  
Parents’ Role in Establishing Healthy Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour Habits

**RBC**  
Royal Bank of Canada

**RCRC**  
Report Card Research Committee

**SHAPES-PEI**  
School Health Action Planning and Evaluation System – Prince Edward Island

**SPACE**  
Supporting Physical Activity in the Childcare Environment

**SPAOC**  
Survey of Physical Activity Opportunities in Canadian Communities

**SSDIC**  
Sport for Social Development in Indigenous Communities

**UCRS**  
Uniform Crime Reporting Survey

**WHO**  
World Health Organization
### Summary of Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Benchmark(s)</th>
<th>2020 Report Card Grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daily Behaviours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Physical Activity</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who meet the physical activity recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (at least 60 minutes of daily MVPA, on average)</td>
<td>D+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Play</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who engage in active play and non-organized/unstructured leisure activities for several hours (&gt; 2) a day.</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Transportation</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who typically use active transportation to get to and from places (e.g., school, park, mall, friend’s house).</td>
<td>D-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized Sport</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who participate in organized sport programs.</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Education</td>
<td>Percentage of students in grades K-8 receiving at least 150 minutes of PE per week. Percentage of high school students taking PE. Percentage of students in grades K-8 receiving DPA in provinces that have DPA policies.</td>
<td>D+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary Behaviours</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who meet the screen time recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (no more than two hours of recreational screen time per day on average).</td>
<td>D+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who meet the sleep recommendation within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (5- to 13-year-olds: 9-11 hours per night, on average; 14- to 17-year-olds: 8-10 hours per night, on average).</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-Hour Movement Behaviours</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who meet the physical activity, screen time and sleep recommendations within the Canadian 24-Hour Movement Behaviour Guidelines for Children and Youth.</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individual Characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Literacy</td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who meet the recommended levels of physical competence, knowledge and understanding, motivation and confidence and daily behaviours needed for a physically active lifestyle.</td>
<td>D+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Fitness</td>
<td>Average percentile of cardiorespiratory fitness achieved based on age- and sex-specific international normative data. Percentage of children and youth who meet criterion-referenced standards for muscular strength. Percentage of children and youth who meet criterion-referenced standards for muscular endurance. Percentage of children and youth who meet criterion-referenced standards for flexibility.</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator Name</td>
<td>Benchmark(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of parents who facilitate physical activity and sport opportunities for their children (e.g. volunteering, coaching, driving, paying for membership fees and equipment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of parents who meet the Canadian Physical Activity Guidelines for Adults.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of parents who are physically active with their kids.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth with friends and peers who encourage and support them to be physically active.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of children and youth who encourage and support their friends to be physically active.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of schools with active school policies (e.g., daily PE, DPA, recess, “everyone plays” approach, bike racks at school, traffic calming on school property, outdoor time).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of schools where the majority (≥ 80%) of students are taught by a PE specialist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of schools where the majority (≥ 80%) of students are offered at least 150 minutes of PE per week.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of schools that offer physical activity opportunities (excluding PE) to the majority (≥ 80%) of their students.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of parents who report their children and youth have access to physical activity opportunities at school in addition to PE classes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of schools with students who have regular access to facilities and equipment that support physical activity (e.g., gymnasium, outdoor playgrounds, sporting fields, multipurpose space for physical activity, equipment in good condition).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of schools reporting that competing priorities (e.g., for resources, equipment, facilities) and/or attitudes (e.g., teachers, parents, children) are not major barriers to PE delivery and physical activity promotion at school.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community &amp; Environment</strong></td>
<td>Percentage of children or parents who perceive their community/municipality is doing a good job at promoting physical activity (e.g., variety, location, cost, quality).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of communities/municipalities that report they have policies promoting physical activity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of communities/municipalities that report they have infrastructure (e.g., sidewalks, trails, paths, bike lanes) specifically geared toward promoting physical activity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of children or parents who report having facilities, programs, parks and playgrounds available to them in their community.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of children or parents who report living in a safe neighbourhood where they can be physically active.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of children or parents who report having well-maintained facilities, parks and playgrounds in their community that are safe to use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategies &amp; Investments</strong></td>
<td>Evidence of leadership and commitment in providing physical activity opportunities for all children and youth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allocated funds and resources for the implementation of physical activity promotion strategies and initiatives for all children and youth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrated progress through the key stages of public policy making (i.e., policy agenda, policy formation, policy implementation, policy evaluation and decisions about the future).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Methodology & Data Sources

Unlike other report card publications, which often rely on a single data source, the ParticipACTION Report Card synthesizes data from multiple data sources and the research literature. The development of indicators and the assignment of grades involve an interdisciplinary Report Card Research Committee, including researchers from across Canada. A biennial summary of research data and literature is prepared by staff within HALO-CHEO (www.haloresearch.ca) to facilitate the review of the information. Grade assignments are determined based on examination of the current data and literature for each indicator against a benchmark or optimal scenario, assessing the indicator to be incomplete, poor, adequate, good or excellent:

National data take precedence over sub-national and regional data, and objectively measured data take precedence over subjectively measured data. Although no longer factoring into grade assignments, trends over time and the presence of disparities are highlighted where applicable. Disparities are primarily based on disabilities, race/ethnicity, immigration status, geography (provincial/territorial comparisons), socio-economic status, urban/rural setting, gender and age (e.g., adolescence).

A given indicator grade is assigned after applying weightings to the key findings in order to provide a more fair and valid representation of the overall proportion of children and youth meeting a given benchmark. This is important because how the key findings are weighted can have considerable impact on the eventual letter grade for an indicator.

Some indicators are stand-alone, while others are comprised of several components. During the grade assignment meeting, each component of an indicator is assessed. Over the evolution of the Report Card, there has been an attempt to move toward indicators that are broad enough to contain various components in their assessment so that indicators can become more consistent from year to year.
The following are major data sources used in the 2020 Report Card:

**Canadian Health Measures Survey (CHMS; goo.gl/dnZ41C):** The Canadian Health Measures Survey, launched in 2007, is collecting key information relevant to the health of Canadians by means of direct physical measurements such as blood pressure, height, weight, physical fitness and physical activity (via accelerometers). In addition, the survey is collecting blood and urine samples to test for chronic and infectious diseases, as well as nutrition and environment markers. Through household interviews, the CHMS is gathering information related to nutrition, smoking habits, alcohol use, medical history, current health status, sexual behaviour, lifestyle and physical activity, the environment and housing characteristics, as well as demographic and socio-economic variables.

**Canadian Physical Activity Levels Among Youth study (CANPLAY; www.cflri.ca):** The Canadian Fitness and Lifestyle Research Institute conducted an annual major national survey to examine physical activity levels of children and youth. CANPLAY studied the current fitness and physical activity patterns of young people in Canada. Approximately 10,000 children and youth (approximately 6,000 families) were randomly selected across Canada. The study was conducted from 2005 to 2016. Pedometers were used to measure the number of steps taken daily by each participant. CANPLAY was a joint venture of the Canadian Fitness and Lifestyle Research Institute and the Interprovincial Sport and Recreation Council.

**Cohort Study for Obesity, Marijuana Use, Physical Activity, Alcohol Use, Smoking and Sedentary Behaviour (COMPASS; www.uwaterloo.ca/compass-system):** The COMPASS study, which started in 2012-13, focuses on youth health behaviours and continues to be funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and Health Canada. It is being conducted and led by researchers at the University of Waterloo in collaboration with researchers at the University of Alberta, the University of British Columbia and the University of Toronto:

- Participating students in grades 9 to 12 are surveyed once annually.
- COMPASS tracks any changes made to the school’s health policies and programs over time.
- Each year, participating schools receive a detailed feedback report, which will include evidence-based recommendations for health policy and program improvement.
- COMPASS has support staff and resources available to schools to help them translate these recommendations into action.

This is the first time in Canada and the world that a survey will allow us to see changes in youth health behaviours over time; determine whether changes to school health policies and programs are effective; and work directly with schools to implement change.
Opportunities for Physical Activity at School Study (OPASS; www.cflri.ca): The content of the 2015 OPASS was designed to explore the availability and composition of PE programming at school, determine the availability and adequacy of facilities and opportunities for physical activity, explore the provision of extracurricular physical activities, examine policies related to physical activity at school, and describe the broader physical and social environments at school. The survey consisted of a self-completed questionnaire that was mailed to a total of 8,000 Canadian schools. The survey was conducted by the CFLRI with funding support from the Interprovincial Sport and Recreation Council, and in partnership with PHE Canada.

Physical Activity Monitor (PAM; www.cflri.ca): The PAM is a telephone survey conducted by the CFLRI that tracks changes in physical activity patterns, factors influencing participation, and life circumstances in Canada. As such, it tracks outcome indicators of the efforts to increase physical activity among Canadians. To date, 19 waves of PAM have been completed, with theme content cycled in and out across planned periods.

Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children Survey (HBSC; www.hbsc.org): Results are based on the Canadian data from the World Health Organization’s 2018 HBSC. The HBSC is a repeated cross-sectional survey conducted every four years. The survey consists of a classroom-based questionnaire. The sample was designed according to the international HBSC protocol in that a cluster design was used, with the school class being the basic cluster and the distribution of the students reflected in the distribution of Canadians in grades 6 to 10 (ages 10 to 16). Canadian schools were selected for this study using a weighted probability technique to ensure that the sample is representative of regional geography and key demographic features such as religion, community size, school size and language of instruction. Schools from each province and territory, as well as urban and rural locations, are represented. The Canadian HBSC was approved by the Queen’s University General Research Ethics Board. Consent was obtained from the participating school boards, individual schools, parents and students. Student participation is voluntary. The HBSC includes three main components: 1) a questionnaire completed by students that asks about their health behaviours (such as physical activity and active transportation), lifestyle factors and demographics; 2) an administrator questionnaire distributed to each school principal that inquires about school demographics, policy, infrastructure and the school neighbourhood setting; and 3) geographic information systems (GIS) measures of built and social features in the school neighbourhoods.

Survey of Physical Opportunities in Canadian Communities (www.cflri.ca) The content of the 2015 Survey of Physical Opportunities in Canadian Communities is designed to explore the availability of policies and programming, the availability and adequacy of facilities and infrastructure, and the broader physical and social environments for physical activity within Canadian communities. The survey consists of a self-completed questionnaire that was mailed to a total of 4,000 communities across Canada. The survey was conducted by the CFLRI and funded by the Interprovincial Sport and Recreation Council.
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